United States District Court Northern District of California	3	
	4	UNITED ST
	5	NORTHERN
	6	
	7	SHIRLEY FENICLE, et al.,
	8	Plaintiffs,
		v.
	9	BOISE CASCADE COMPANY, et al.,
	10	Defendants.
	11	
	12	Plaintiffs filed this asbestos produ
	13	Boise Cascade Company subsequently re
	14	bankruptcy-related claims and federal que
	15	motion to remand to state court. While a
	16	jurisdiction of the undersigned magistrate
	17	Crown Cork & Seal Company, Inc. are st
	18	appeared nor consented to magistrate jud
	19	decide the motion to remand. According
	20	judge. Plaintiffs shall contact the deputy
	21	hearing date.
	22	IT IS SO ORDERED.

1

2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 15-cv-02398-JSC

ORDER REASSIGNING CASE

asbestos product liability action against several defendants. Defendant subsequently removed the action to this federal court on the basis of and federal question. Now pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' court. While all parties who have appeared have consented to the gned magistrate judge, Plaintiffs contend that Georgia-Pacific LLC and bany, Inc. are still defendants (Dkt. No. 19-1 at 8); yet, neither has magistrate judge jurisdiction. As a result, a magistrate judge may not nd. Accordingly, this action is ordered reassigned to a district court tact the deputy clerk of the newly-assigned judge regarding a new

- IS SO ORDERED.
- 23 Dated: July 30, 2015

INE SC United States Magistrate Judge

28

24

25

26

27