

1 MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891
 LISA ELLS – 243657
 2 VAN SWEARINGEN – 259809
 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
 3 50 Fremont Street, 19th Floor
 San Francisco, California 94105-2235
 4 Telephone: (415) 433-6830
 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
 5 Email: mbien@rbgg.com
 lells@rbgg.com
 6 vswearingen@rbgg.com

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff

8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RANDY DAVID RAY ROBERTSON,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, et al.,
 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-02549-WHO

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
 EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES
 AND HEARING ON COUNTY
 DEFENDANTS’ MOTION TO
 DISMISS**

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick
 Date: July 19, 2016
 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Crtrm.: 2, 17th Floor

Trial Date: None Set

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Randy David Ray Robertson served his First Amended
2 Complaint (“FAC”) on Defendants Contra Costa Office of the Sherriff and Contra Costa
3 Health Services on April 20, 2016, and served Defendant Contra Costa County on April
4 21, 2016;

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Robertson and Defendants Contra Costa County, Contra
6 Costa Office of the Sherriff, and Contra Costa Health Services (collectively, “County
7 Defendants”) stipulated to a two-week extension of the deadline for County Defendants to
8 respond to the First Amended Complaint to May 26, 2016, and the Court so ordered (Dkt.
9 No. 29);

10 WHEREAS, County Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Robertson’s
11 FAC on May 26, 2016 (Dkt. No. 31);

12 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Robertson does not disagree with County Defendants’
13 contention that certain claims pleaded as Eighth Amendment Claims should be pleaded as
14 Fourteenth Amendment Claims in an amended complaint;

15 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Robertson and County Defendants (collectively, “the
16 Parties”) have agreed to an expedited mutual document exchange in part to: 1) potentially
17 narrow the number of individual Defendants and/or claims, if the Parties agree that the
18 exchanged documents warrant such narrowing; and 2) consider the appropriateness of
19 early settlement discussions following the document exchange;

20 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that their expedited mutual document
21 exchange will be effectuated by June 10, 2016;

22 WHEREAS, the Parties seek a two-week extension to the existing Motion to
23 Dismiss briefing deadlines (currently Plaintiff’s Opposition is due July 9, 2016, and
24 County Defendants’ Reply is due July 16, 2016);

25 WHEREAS, a hearing on County Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is currently set
26 for July 6, 2016;

27 WHEREAS, a Case Management Conference is currently set for July 19, 2016
28 (Dkt. No. 30);

1 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
2 between the Parties to this action, through their respective counsel that:

- 3 • Plaintiff Robertson shall file his Opposition to County Defendants' Motion
4 To Dismiss on or by June 23, 2016;
- 5 • County Defendants shall file their Reply in Support of County Defendants'
6 Motion To Dismiss on or by June 30, 2016; and
- 7 • The hearing on County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss will be set for July
8 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., the same date and time as the currently-scheduled
9 Case Management Conference.

10
11 DATED: June 3, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP

12
13 By: /s/ Van Swearingen
14 Van Swearingen

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
17

18 DATED: June 3, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

19 SHARON L. ANDERSON
20 COUNTY COUNSEL

21 By: /s/ Patrick L. Hurley
22 Deputy County Counsel

23 Attorneys for Defendants
24 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CONTRA COSTA
25 OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF, AND CONTRA
26 COSTA HEALTH SERVICES
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based on the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS
HEREBY ORDERED that the existing deadlines associated with County Defendants’
Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff Robertson’s First Amended Complaint are modified as
follows:

- Plaintiff Robertson shall file his Opposition to County Defendants’ Motion To Dismiss on or by June 23, 2016;
- County Defendants shall file their Reply in Support of County Defendants’ Motion To Dismiss on or by June 30, 2016; and
- The hearing on County Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss will be set for July 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., the same date and time as the currently-scheduled Case Management Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: June 6, 2016



Hon. William H. Orrick
United States District Judge