1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)				
2	United States Attorney SARA WINSLOW (DCBN 457643)				
3	Chief, Civil Division				
	WENDY M. GARBERS (CABN 213208)				
4	Assistant United States Attorney DAVID A. PEREDA (CABN 237982)				
5	Assistant United States Attorney				
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6475				
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234				
8	wendy.garbers@usdoj.gov				
9	Attorneys for Defendant SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				
10					
11		ES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
14	K. H., C.V., W.L., J.M., JEFFREY BOYER, BRIAN PIEROG, DONNA BAXTER,	) Case No. 15-cv-02740 JST			
15	RICHARD DEVIVO, and GARY MCCONAGHY, on behalf of themselves and	<ul> <li>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</li> <li>PENDING SUMMARY JUDGMEN'</li> </ul>			
16	those similarly situated,	) I ENDING SUMMART JUDGMEN )			
17		) Current Date: April 20, 2017			
18	Plaintiffs,	<ul><li>Proposed New Date: June 8, 2017</li><li>Time: 2:00 p.m.</li></ul>			
19	v.	) The Honorable Jon S. Tigar			
20	THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,	/ ) )			
21	Defendant.	)			
22					
23	STIPULATION				
24	WHEREAS, defendant filed their summary judgment motions on February 3, 2017;				
25	WHEREAS, since the filing of the motions, counsel for defendant learned that one piece of data				
26	cited in the motions titled "FY13 Average Daily Scheduled US Carrier Passenger Flights (Departures and				
27	Arrivals)" actually refers to Average Daily Scheduled High Risk US Carrier Passenger Flights (Departures				
28					
	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE PENDII	NG SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS			
	NO. 15-CV-02740 JST		1		
			Dockets.Justia.com		

1	and Arrivals). While this difference does not change the substance of defendant's argument, defendant			
2	wishes to correct the record. This issue impacts only the summary judgment motion with Docket Number			
3	69, and does not impact the summary judgment motion with Docket Number 73;			
4	WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and plaintiffs do not object to defendant filing an amended			
5	summary judgment motion (ECF 69);			
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by the parties to the above-captioned action, by and through their			
7	respective counsel of record, that:			
8	1. Defendant will file an amended motion for summary judgment (re ECF 69) on or before			
9	February 28, 2017.			
10	2. Plaintiffs' oppositions to both summary judgment motions (ECF 69 and 73) will due on March			
11	31, 2017;			
12	3. Defendant's reply memoranda in support of the summary judgment motions will be due on April			
13	28, 2017; and			
14	4. Subject to the Court's availability, the hearing on the summary judgment motions will be reset to			
15	June 8, 2017, at 2:00 p.m.			
16				
17	DATED: February 23, 2017 Respectfully submitted,			
18	BRIAN J. STRETCH Acting United States Attorney			
19	_/s/ Wendy M. Garbers			
20	WENDY M. GARBERS Assistant United States Attorney			
21	Attorneys for Defendant			
22	DATED: February 23, 2017 MORRIS POLICH & PURDY LLP			
23				
24	_/s/ Nicholas M. Wieczorek *			
25	NICHOLAS M. WIECZOREK Attorneys for Plaintiff			
26	*In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests under penalty of perjury			
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PENDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS NO. 15-CV-02740 JST 2			

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2		
3	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		
5	Dated: February 23, 2017	
6		
7	THE HONORABLE ON S. TIGAR	
8	THE TONORABLE ON S. HOAK	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
19 20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE PENDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS NO. 15-CV-02740 JST	3