1	JAMES R. TENERO (SBN 201023) CHRISTOPHER C. RANCK (SBN 283873) SELMAN BREITMAN LLP		
2	33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor		
3	San Francisco, CA 94105-4537 Telephone : 415.979.0400		
4	Facsimile : 415.979.2099		
5	Emails : jtenero@selmanlaw.com : cranck@selmanlaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	Scottsdale Insurance Company STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON (SBN 201023)		
8	JONATHAN K. MYERS (SBN 306756) HAYES SCOTT BONINO ELLINGSON & MC	ΤΑΥ	
9	203 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 480 Redwood Shores, CA 94065		
10	Telephone : 650.637.9100 Facsimile : 650.637.8071		
11	Emails : sellingson@hayesscott.com	m	
12	Attorneys for Defendant		
13	Hudson Specialty Insurance Company		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16			
17	SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation,	Case No. 3:15-cv-02896-HSG	
18		STIPULATION AND ORDER REVISING	
19	Plaintiff,	THE COURT'S AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER	
20	v.		
21	HUDSON SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation,		
22	Defendant.		
23			
24	Plaintiff Scottsdale Insurance Company ("Scottsdale") and Defendant Hudson Specialty		
25	Insurance Company ("Hudson"), referred to collectively as the "Parties", by and through their		
26	respective counsel, hereby stipulate to revise the	Court's September 28, 2016 Amended	
27	Scheduling Order (Dkt. 40) as follows:		
28			
		l	
_	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order To Revise Amended Scheduling Order – CASE NO. 3:15-CV-02896-HSG		

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

17

1 I. <u>RECITALS</u>

2 1. WHEREAS, the Parties previously agreed to hold an Early Neutral Evaluation
3 session (the "ENE") on October 12, 2016, at 10:30 a.m., with the Evaluator appointed by the
4 Court.

2. WHEREAS, the Parties requested that the Court enlarge the operative Scheduling
Order (Dkt. 37) because, among other reasons, the initial fact discovery cutoff set by the
Scheduling Order was October 5, 2016, prior to the date of the ENE.

8 3. WHEREAS, on September 28, 2016, the Court issued an Amended Scheduling
9 Order (Dkt. 40), setting deadlines for fact discovery, expert discovery, and dispositive motions.
10 The Amended Scheduling Order set November 3, 2016 as the fact discovery cutoff.

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2016, the Court served a Notice (Dkt. 41)
 withdrawing the appointment of the assigned Evaluator for medical issues and taking the ENE
 set for October 12, 2016 off calendar. The Notice advised that the ADR Unit would appoint
 another Evaluator shortly.

15 5. WHEREAS, the Parties agreed to submit a stipulated list of alternative ENE
16 Evaluators to the ADR Case Administrator, and did submit such list on October 4, 2016.

6. WHEREAS, the ADR Unit has not, to date, appointed another ENE Evaluator.

18 7. WHEREAS, the Parties still wish for the ENE to be held prior to the fact
19 discovery cutoff, currently set for November 3, 2016.

8. WHEREAS, the parties have exchanged and responded to written discovery and
document requests to obtain necessary evidence, but wish additional time to meet and confer
regarding discovery issues and an opportunity to propound additional written discovery, obtain
additional documents, and/or conduct depositions following the ENE and prior to filing
dispositive motions. The Parties recognize that the Court's determination of the Parties'
dispositive motions could terminate this case.

9. WHEREAS, the deposition of third party Alliant Specialty Insurance Services,
Inc. ("Alliant") has been noticed. Alliant is the broker through which the Parties' mutual named
insured obtained insurance coverage from the Parties. The Parties are meeting and conferring

2

1	with Alliant and its counsel to schedule a mutually available date on which to conduct the	
2	deposition. Additional time to conduct fact discovery would alleviate the currently encountered	
3	difficulties of scheduling a date agreeable to all counsel and Alliant's witness(es).	
4	10. WHEREAS, the Parties have mutually agreed that no experts need be retained in	
5	this matter, as the dispute between the Parties is a question of law.	
6	11. WHEREAS, no trial date has been set in this matter.	
7	12. WHEREAS, the Parties do not seek any extension of dates currently set by the	
8	Amended Scheduling Order except for the fact discovery cutoff.	
9	13. WHEREAS, the parties have been working diligently toward moving this case	
10	forward in an efficient, expeditious manner. The Parties wish to litigate this matter in a cost-	
11	effective manner and avoid unnecessary attorneys' fees and costs.	
12	14. WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to submit this Stipulation and [Proposed]	
13	Order to Revise the Court's Amended Scheduling Order.	
14	15. Accordingly, the Parties hereby stipulate to revising the Court's September 28,	
15	2016 Amended Scheduling Order as follows.	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	3 Stipulation and Proposed Order To Revise Amended Scheduling Order – CASE NO. 3:15-CV-02896-HSG	

Stipulation and [Proposed]-Order To Revise Amended Scheduling Order – CASE NO. 3:15-CV-02896-HSG

STIPULATION 1 II.

Scheduled Event	Date
Fact Discovery Cutoff	December 2, 2016
	(currently November 3, 2016)
Exchange Initial Expert Reports	November 17, 2016
	(NO CHANGE)
Exchange Rebuttal Expert Reports	November 30, 2016
	(NO CHANGE)
Expert Discovery Cutoff	December 14, 2016
	(NO CHANGE)
Deadline to Hear Dispositive Motions	January 26, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.
	(NO CHANGE)
DATED: October 26, 2016	SELMAN BREITMAN LLP
	By: /s/Christopher C. Ranck
	CHRISTOPHER C. RANCK JAMES R. TENERO
	Attorneys For Plaintiff Scottsdale Insurance Company
DATED: October 26, 2016	HAYES SCOTT BONINO ELLINGSON
	MCKAY
	By: /s/Stephen P. Ellingson
	STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON JONATHAN K MYERS
	Attorneys For Defendant Hudson Specialty Insurance Company

