1	George A. Riley (S.B. #118304)	Karen I. Boyd (State Bar No. 189808)
2	griley@omm.com Mark E. Miller (S.B. #130200)	boyd@turnerboyd.com Louis L. Wai (State Bar No. 295089)
3	markmiller@omm.com	wai@turnerboyd.com
3	David R. Eberhart (S.B. #195474) deberhart@omm.com	TURNER BOYD LLP
4	Elysa Q. Wan (S.B. #297806)	702 Marshall Street, Suite 640
5	ewan@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Redwood City, California 94063 Telephone: (650) 521-5930
	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor	Facsimile: (650) 521-5930
6	San Francisco, California 94111-3823 Telephone: (415) 984-8700	
7	Facsimile: (415) 984-8701	J. Michael Huget (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
8	Susan D. Boader (S. P. #160807)	mhuget@honigman.com Charles W. Duncan, Jr. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
0	Susan D. Roeder (S.B. #160897) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	cduncan@honigman.com
9	2765 Sand Hill Road	Sarah E. Waidelich (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
10	Menlo Park, CA 94025-7019	swaidelich@honigman.com
	Carolyn S. Wall (pro hac vice)	HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP
11	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	130 South First Street, Fourth Floor
12	Times Square Tower, 7 Times Square New York, New York 10036	Ann Arbor, MI 48104
13		Telephone: (734) 418-4254
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant
14	24/7 Customer, Inc.	LIVEPĚŘŠON, ĬNC.
15		
16		
17		ATES DISTRICT COURT
18		DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FR	ANCISCO DIVISION
19	SAN FR	ANCISCO DIVISION
19 20		ANCISCO DIVISION
	24/7 Customer, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST
20 21		
20	24/7 Customer, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
20 21	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
20 21 22	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
20 21 22 23	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 25 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	24/7 Customer, Inc., Plaintiff, v. LivePerson, Inc.,	Case No. 3:15-CV-02897-JST [JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

Dockets.Justia.com

1 2

[JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

In order to coordinate discovery in the above-captioned Action with discovery in *LivePerson, Inc. v. 24/7 Customer, Inc.,* 1:14-cv-01559-RWS pending before the Honorable Robert W. Sweet in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, ("the New York Action") the Parties shall implement the following discovery protocols.

6 7

1. COORDINATION OF DISCOVERY BETWEEN THE TWO ACTIONS

a. Document Production

Any document produced in the New York Action will be available for use in the abovecaptioned California action, and vice versa. The confidentiality designations for the protective
orders will be coordinated and apply regardless of the case in which a document is produced. The
Parties shall, nevertheless, use production numbers that indicate the action in which a document is
produced.

13

b. Written Discovery

Any written response to an interrogatory or request for admission in the New York action will be available for use in the above-captioned California action, and vice versa. The confidentiality designations applied to such written responses will apply regardless of the case in which the written response is made.

18

c. Deposition of Fact Witnesses

Deposition testimony provided in the New York action will be available for use in the
above-captioned California action, and vice versa. The confidentiality designations for deposition
transcripts and exhibits will apply regardless of the case in which the deposition is taken.

In the interest of minimizing the burdens of discovery, the parties shall endeavor to limit duplicative deposition discovery to the extent practicable. The parties shall implement the following strategies to so limit the depositions of fact witnesses, absent extenuating circumstances, and shall discuss additional options as necessary:

26

i. Limited Depositions of Shared Witnesses

27 If a fact witness has already been deposed in the course of discovery in the New York
 28 Action, the duration of a second deposition of the same witness in the above-captioned California
 <u>[JOINT PROPOSED]</u> DUAL CASE
 -2 MANAGEMENT ORDER

3:15-CV-02897-JST

case may be reduced by one hour where the deposing party has already had an opportunity in the New York action to depose the witness on his or her educational and employment background.

3

1

2

ii. Foreign Witnesses Deposed in the United States

[24]7 has employees in India and LivePerson has employees in Israel. The Parties
anticipate that some of these employees will be deposed in the New York and/or California
Actions. For purposes of admissibility, any deposition taken in a foreign country shall be treated
as if it were taken in the United States. The Parties will meet and confer in good faith regarding
the locations of depositions of witnesses who are not current employees and who are located in a
foreign country.

After both parties have reasonably identified through initial disclosures, interrogatory responses, or otherwise the respective areas of knowledge and geographical locations of their employees with relevant knowledge—they will meet and confer in good faith regarding which and how many depositions will take place in the United States. Depositions conducted outside the United States shall be conducted in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; provided however, that this provision shall not be construed as requiring any party to violate the local laws of any foreign country.

17

iii. Foreign Discovery

The parties expect that both cases will involve the production of documents maintained by [24]7's employees in India and LivePerson's employees in Israel. The discoverability and production of documents located outside of the United States that are within the possession, custody, or control of any party shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the physical location of a document may be taken into consideration when assessing the burdensomeness of producing it.

Documents that are within the possession, custody, or control of any party shall be treated
 as if they were located in the United States, regardless of their actual physical location. Should a
 party move to compel production of documents located in a foreign country, and should this
 Court order the production of such documents, this Court's order shall set the scope of the
 documents to be produced, and any party so ordered shall voluntarily produce the documents
 - 3 - MANAGEMENT ORDER
 3:15-CV-02897-JST

1	through the procedures set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This Court shall preside
2	over any disputes regarding the production of documents located in a foreign country.
3	Within the limits on deposition time set forth in the Joint Case Management Conference
4	and Statement, in response to a deposition notice served upon a party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
5	30, the party will voluntarily produce any noticed employees for deposition, regardless of whether
6	the employee is located in the United States or a foreign country; provided, however, that nothing
7	in this provision shall be construed as requiring any party to violate the local laws of any foreign
8	country.
9	
10	
11	Dated: November 19, 2015 O'MELVENY & MYERS
12	
13	By: /s/ Mark E. Miller
14	Mark E. Miller
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff 24/7 Customer, Inc.
16	
17	Dated: November 19, 2015 TURNER BOYD LLP
18	
19	By: /s/ Karen Boyd
20	Karen Boyd
21	Attorneys for Defendant LivePerson, Inc.
22	
23	ATTESTATION: Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing
24	of this document has been obtained from Karen Boyd.
25	
26	By: <u>/s/ Mark E. Miller</u> Mark E. Miller
27	
28	- 4 - HONDER - 4 - Signature (Joint Proposed) dual case Management order 3:15-CV-02897-JST

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED DISTRICT
3	STATES
4	
5	Dated: November 19, 2015 By: HIT IS SO ORDERED
6	
7	Z Judge Jon S. Tigar
8	
9	FERV DISTRICT OF CP
10	DISTRICI
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	[JOINT PROPOSED] DUAL CASE
	- 5 - MANAGEMENT ORDER 3:15-CV-02897-JST