

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -120)

On April 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 938 F.Supp.2d 1355 (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 1,037 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Seibel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Aug 20, 2015

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK**

BY



Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

SCHEDULE CTO-120 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARKANSAS EASTERN			
ARE	3	15-00226	Strickland et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc et al
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	15-04977	Mena Altaira v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al
CAC	2	15-05011	Lisa Berumen v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al
CAC	2	15-05756	Ana Sanchez v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	15-03086	Dimas v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al
CAN	3	15-03091	Dinis v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	15-01487	Ontiberos v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	15-03235	Avalos v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al
MN	0	15-03236	Lucero v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al