1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 ELIAS STAVRINIDES, No. C 15-03118 WHA 8 Plaintiff, 9 **ORDER DISMISSING CASE** v 10 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, 11 Defendant. 12 13 In this debt collection action, defendant PG&E filed a motion to dismiss on September 14 4. Pro se plaintiff Elias Stavrinides' response was due on September 18. After plaintiff failed 15 to file any response, Magistrate Judge Kandis Westmore, who then presided over the case, 16 issued an order for plaintiff to show cause, by October 15, as to why he did not timely respond 17 to defendant's motion (Dkt. No. 18). Plaintiff did not respond to that order. The case was then 18 reassigned to the undersigned judge. A second order to show cause requested that plaintiff 19 show cause, in writing, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute by Noon 20 on October 27. The order warned that failure to respond, or to show sufficient cause as to why 21 plaintiff has not responded to previous orders, would result in dismissal of the case for failure to 22 prosecute (Dkt. No. 26). Plaintiff again failed to file any response. This case is hereby 23 DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE. The CLERK SHALL PLEASE CLOSE THE FILE. 24 25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 26 27 Dated: October 29, 2015. 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California