

KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP  
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, THIRTY-NINTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067  
TELEPHONE: 310-407-4000

1 David M. Stern (State Bar No. 67697)  
Michael L. Tuchin (State Bar No. 150375)  
Robert J. Pfister (State Bar No. 241370)  
2 Colleen M. Keating (State Bar No. 261213)  
Jonathan M. Weiss (State Bar No. 281217)  
3 KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Thirty-Ninth Floor  
4 Los Angeles, California 90067  
Telephone: 310-407-4000  
5 Facsimile: 310-407-9090  
Email: dstern@ktbslaw.com  
6 mtuchin@ktbslaw.com  
rpfister@ktbslaw.com  
7 ckeating@ktbslaw.com  
jweiss@ktbslaw.com  
8

9 Steven T. Gubner (State Bar No. 156593)  
Jason B. Komorsky (State Bar No. 155677)  
Michael W. Davis (State Bar No. 274126)  
10 BRUTZKUS GUBNER ROZANSKY SEROR WEBER LLP  
21650 Oxnard Street, Suite 500  
11 Woodland Hills, California 91367  
Telephone: 818-827-9000  
12 Facsimile: 818-827-9099  
Email: sgubner@brutkusgubner.com  
13 jkomorsky@brutkusgubner.com  
mdavis@brutkusgubner.com  
14

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee  
for the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust*

16  
17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**  
18

19 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION  
20

Case No. 3:15-cv-03248 RS  
Master File No. 3:10-md-2143 RS

21 This document relates to:  
22 Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee for the Circuit  
City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust,  
23  
24 Plaintiff,  
25  
26 v.  
27 Sony Corporation, et al.,  
28  
29 Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~]  
ORDER REGARDING DEADLINE FOR  
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'  
MOTIONS TO DISMISS THE  
COMPLAINT**

1 WHEREAS, on July 13, 2015, plaintiff Alfred H. Siegel, in his capacity as Trustee for the  
2 Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (“Plaintiff”), filed his *Complaint for Damages* [Docket  
3 No. 1] in the above-captioned action;

4 WHEREAS, on August 6, 2015, the Court entered the *Stipulation and Order Regarding*  
5 *Service of Process and Deadlines to Respond to Complaint* [Docket No. 11], under which  
6 defendants had ninety (90) days from the date of service of process to answer or otherwise respond  
7 to the Complaint;

8 WHEREAS, on November 2, 2015, the Court entered the *Stipulation and Order Regarding*  
9 *Deadline to Respond to Complaint* [Docket No. 16], which set a single date (November 13, 2015)  
10 as the deadline for all defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint, and further  
11 provided that Plaintiff’s opposition to any motions to dismiss that were filed would be due sixty  
12 (60) days after the filing of such motions, with replies due thirty (30) days thereafter;

13 WHEREAS, on November 13, 2015, defendants filed motions to dismiss the Complaint  
14 [Docket Nos. 17, 18, 19] (the “Motions to Dismiss”), with a specified hearing date of February 18,  
15 2016, at 1:30 p.m.;

16 WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s opposition to the Motions to Dismiss is currently due on January  
17 12, 2016, and defendants’ replies in further support of the Motions to Dismiss are currently due  
18 February 11, 2016;

19 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has proposed to amend his Complaint, and the parties are discussing  
20 whether defendants will consent to such amendment; and

21 WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agree that, for purposes of judicial and party  
22 efficiency, the deadline to oppose the Motions to Dismiss should be extended for two weeks so  
23 that the parties may continue to confer regarding Plaintiff’s proposed First Amended Complaint.

24 NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED and AGREED, subject to Court  
25 approval, that:

26 1. Plaintiff’s opposition to the Motions to Dismiss [Docket Nos. 17, 18, 19] shall be  
27 due on January 26, 2016.

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. Replies in further support of the Motions to Dismiss shall be due February 25, 2016.

3. A hearing on the Motions to Dismiss shall be held on the earliest date that is convenient to the Court.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: January 8, 2016

KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

/s/ Colleen M. Keating  
Colleen M. Keating

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee for the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust*

DATED: January 8, 2016

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

/s/ Belinda S Lee  
Belinda S Lee

Brendan A. McShane  
505 Montgomery Street Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94111-6538  
Tel.: 415-395-8164  
Fax: 415-395-8095  
Belinda.Lee@lw.com  
Brendan.McShane@lw.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Corp., and Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corp.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: January 8, 2016

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

/s/ Ian Simmons

Ian Simmons  
1625 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-4001  
Tel.: 202-383-5106  
Fax: 202-383-5414  
isimmons@omm.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.  
and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*

DATED: January 8, 2016

BAKER BOTTS LLP

/s/ Evan Werbel

Evan Werbel  
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2400  
Tel.: 202-639-1323  
Fax: 202-585-4077  
evan.werbel@bakerbotts.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Lite-On IT Corp., Lite-On  
Sales & Distribution Inc., Koninklijke Philips N.V.,  
Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips  
& Lite-On Digital Solutions Corp., and Philips & Lite-  
On Digital Solutions USA, Inc.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: January 8, 2016

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

/s/ John F. Cove, Jr.

John F. Cove, Jr.  
Steven C. Holtzman  
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
Tel.: 510-874-1002  
Fax: 510-874-1460  
jcove@bsflp.com  
sholtzman@bsflp.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Sony Optiarc America Inc.,  
Sony Electronics Inc., Sony Corporation, and Sony  
Optiarc Inc.*

**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

DATED: January 8, 2016

/s/ Colleen M. Keating  
Colleen M. Keating

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: 1/8, 2016



Hon. Richard Seeborg  
United States District Judge