respond to the complaint to September 28, 2015.

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2015, the parties attended the ADR teleconference and in light of the parties existing efforts to determine whether there may be the potential for an informal resolution, a further teleconference was scheduled for October 29, 2015.

WHEREAS, on or about September 15, 2015 the parties stipulated to extend Defendant's deadline to respond to the complaint to October 28, 2015;

WHEREAS, on October 29, 2015, the parties appeared for the further ADR teleconference, however the ADR Program representative did not join.

WHEREAS, on or about October 21, 2015, the parties stipulated to extend Defendant's deadline to respond to the complaint to November 27, 2015;

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2015, the parties attended a case management conference at which time the Court referred the parties to another ADR Program phone conference to occur within sixty (60) days and set a further case management conference for February 12, 2016.

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2015, the parties attended a further ADR teleconference and discussed the fact that the parties continue to work together to determine whether an informal resolution will be possible. A further ADR teleconference was scheduled for January 27, 2016.

WHEREAS, no trial schedule has been set.

WHEREAS, as stated above, the parties continue to explore whether there may be the potential for an informal resolution;

WHEREAS, in order to continue in these informal resolution discussions, reduce the costs of litigation for all parties, and unburden the Court's docket, counsel for the parties' have met and conferred and agreed to a further thirty (30) day extension of the deadline for Defendant to respond to the complaint. This is the parties' sixth such stipulation.

Based on the foregoing and pursuant to N.D. Local Rule 6-1, it is hereby STIPULATED: Defendant shall have up to and including January 27, 2016 to respond to the complaint.

28 | 11951.0644/6019381.1

CV-15-3376

| 1 | DATED: December 16, 2015 | MELLEN LAW FIRM |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | By: /s/ Sarah Shapero |
| 4 | | Sarah Shapero |
| 5 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff George Von Bozzay |
| 6 | I, Megan C. Kelly, am the ECF user who | se identification and password are being used to file this |
| 7 | SIXTH STIPULATION TO EXTEND | DEFENDANT'S TIME TO RESPOND TO |
| 8 | COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO N.D. I | L.R. 6-1. I hereby attest that counsel for Plaintiff, Sarah |
| 9 | Shapero, has concurred in this filing. /s/ | Megan C. Kelly |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | DATED: December 16, 2015 | SEVERSON & WERSON |
| 13 | | A Professional Corporation |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | By: /s/ Megan C. Kelly |
| 16 | | Megan C. Kelly |
| 17 | STATES DISTRICT CON | Attorneys for Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC |
| 18 | Dated: 12/18/15 5 IT IS SO ORDERED | |
| 19 | Dated: 12/18/15 S II 13 Judge Joseph C. Spero | RNIA |
| 20 | Judge Joseph | |
| 21 | DISTRICT OF | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

11951.0644/6019381.1 3 CV-15-3376
SIXTH STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEFENDANT'S TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO