1	Holly A. House (SB# 136045)	
2	hollyhouse@paulhastings.com Sophie J. Sung (SB# 279056)	
3	sophiesung@paulhastings.com PAUL HASTINGS LLP	
4	55 Second Street Twenty-Fourth Floor	
5	San Francisco, CA 94105-3441 Telephone: (415) 856-7000	
6	Facsimile: (415) 856-7100	
7	Richard L. Brophy (pro hac vice) rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com	
8	David W. Harlan (pro hac vice) dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com	
9	Charles W. Steese (pro hac vice) csteese@armstrongteasdale.com	
10	Mark A. Thomas (pro hac vice) mathomas@armstrongteasdale.com	
11	Zachary C. Howenstine (pro hac vice) zhowenstine@armstrongteasdale.com	
12	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP 7700 Forsyth Blvd. Suite 1800	
13	St. Louis, MO 63105 Telephone: (314) 621-5070	
14	Facsimile: (314) 621-5065	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.	
16	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
19	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.,	
20	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:15-cv-03424
21	VS.	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE A
22	OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.,	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR DEFENDANT TO
23	Defendant.	FILE A REPLY
24	Dolondant.	Date: November 6, 2015 Time: 9:30 a.m.
25		Location: Courtroom G Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero
26		
27		
28		
	STIP. FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO	
ļ	MOT. TO DISMISS	15502\4881768.2

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, the parties stipulate to an extension of nine days, up to and including October 9, 2015, for Plaintiff Cave Consulting Group, Inc. to file its Opposition to Defendant OptumInsight, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss. In addition, the parties stipulate to an extension of seven days, up to and including October 23, 2015, for Defendant OptumInsight, Inc. to file its Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss.

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was filed on September 16, 2015. Plaintiff's deadline for responding to the Motion, without the extension, is therefore September 30, 2015. Defendant's deadline for filing a reply, without the extension, is seven days after the Plaintiff's opposition is due. Civil L.R. 7-3.

These extensions will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court Order. The hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and the Case Management Conference are currently scheduled for November 6, 2015.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that the time for Plaintiff to file its Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is extended to **October 9, 2015**, and the time for Defendant to file its Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss is extended to **October 23, 2015**.

STIP. FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO MOT. TO DISMISS

1	Dated: September 22, 2015	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ Richard L. Brophy Richard L. Brophy
4		David W. Harlan Charles W. Steese
5		Mark A. Thomas Zachary C. Howenstine
6		PAUL HASTINGS LLP
7 8		Holly A. House Sophie J. Sung
		Attorneys for Plaintiff
9		CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.
10	Dated: September 22, 2015	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ J. Thomas Vitt
13		Peter M. Lancaster J. Thomas Vitt
14		Patricia A. Welch Forrest Tahdooahnippah
15		David A. Couillard
16		Attorneys for Defendant OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.
17		
18		
19	Dated: 9/24/15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20		/s/ Joseph C. Spero Chief Magistrate Judge
21		Omer magnetiate value
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ATTESTATION OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES	
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the undersigned filer of this document attests that	
concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories.	
concurrence in the ming of this document is	as seen obtained from each of the signatories.
Dated: September 22, 2015	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
	By: <u>/s/ Richard L. Brophy</u> Richard L. Brophy David W. Harlan
	David W. Harlan
	Charles W. Steese Mark A. Thomas
	Zachary C. Howenstine
	PAUL HASTINGS LLP Holly A. House
	Sophie J. Sung
	Attorneys for Plaintiff CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.
	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the concurrence in the filing of this document h

28