1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	NATIONAL ABORTION FEDERATION,	Case No. <u>15-cv-03522-WHO</u>
6	Plaintiff,	
7	V.	ORDER RE OCTOBER 30, 2015 ORDER
8	CENTER FOR MEDICAL PROGRESS, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 185
9	Defendants.	
10	Defendant Daleidin requests an opportunity to respond to NAF's October 22, 2015 letter	
11	(Dkt. 171-3). In that letter, NAF sought leave to depose an individual NAF believes is posting	
12	online materials covered by the Court's TRO and an order requiring Daleidin to turn over all	
	1	

materials covered by the TRO to outside counsel for CMP. Daleidin's counsel did not understand NAF's requests to be before the Court on a motion and, therefore, was awaiting the Court's direction before filing a response.

In light of the ambiguity in the docket, I GRANT Daleidin's request. Daleidin shall file a response to NAF's October 22, 2015 letter, not to exceed two pages, by **12:00 p.m. Tuesday November 3, 2015**. The deposition authorized by the Court's October 30, 2015 Order shall not take place before the Court issues a further order, although service and scheduling may continue if underway.

For clarity going forward, when a party seeks any form of relief from the Court, that party shall file its request as either a noticed motion (under Civil Local Rule 7-2), a motion for administrative relief (under Civil Local Rule 7-11), or a joint letter seeking resolution of a discovery dispute, as appropriate.

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 2, 2015

WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

United States District Court Northern District of California