

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAARMAN CONSTRUCTION, LTD,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-03548-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	November 17, 2015
Selection of mediator	December 2, 2015
Mediation compliance hearing (if necessary)	December 16, 2015
Mediation deadline	February 1, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive motions	April 15, 2016
Expert disclosures	May 24, 2016
Fact discovery cut-off	June 8, 2016
Expert rebuttal	June 23, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	July 18, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	August 16, 2016

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	August 26, 2016 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	September 19, 2016 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

Mediation Compliance Hearing: Counsel must file a joint letter with the Court not later than December 2, 2015, advising the Court of the identity of the mediator they have selected, and the date and time on which the mediation will occur. A letter that merely indicates that counsel have agreed on a particular mediator does not comply with this order. If, and only if, counsel have failed to so advise the Court, then all counsel are ordered to appear personally (not telephonically) on December 16, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2015



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge