

1 MARK D. LONERGAN (State Bar No. 143622)
 THOMAS N. ABBOTT (State Bar No. 245568)
 2 KIMBERLY A. PAESE (State Bar No. 258594)
 kap@severson.com
 3 SEVERSON & WERSON
 A Professional Corporation
 4 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
 San Francisco, California 94111
 5 Telephone: (415) 398-3344
 Facsimile: (415) 956-0439
 6

Attorneys for Defendants
 7 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; and
 TREENA BERLINKSY
 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA — SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 KABITA CHOUDHURI,
 12 Plaintiff,
 13 vs.
 14 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; TREENA
 BERLINSKY,
 15 Defendants.
 16

Case No. 3:15-cv-03608-VC

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO
 MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
 INJUNCTION**

The Hon. Vince Chhabria

Action Filed: August 6, 2015
 Trial Date: None Set

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (“Wells Fargo”) and
2 Treena Berlinsky (“Defendants”) and plaintiff Kabita Choudhuri (“Plaintiff”), hereby request the
3 Court extend the time for briefing on the motion for a preliminary injunction filed by Plaintiff, and
4 currently set for hearing on October 27, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., and hereby agree as follows:

5 1. Defendants’ response to Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction is currently
6 due September 23, 2016;

7 2. Under the current deadline, Defendants will not have sufficient time to prepare its
8 evidence in response to Plaintiff’s motion or a preliminary injunction;

9 3. The motion was filed on September 9, 2016 and the parties have made their request
10 to extend time prior to fourteen (14) days before the hearing;

11 4. Plaintiff previously filed a motion for preliminary injunction at the commencement
12 of this case in 2015, but the matter was taken off-calendar and never heard although the Court
13 ordered the temporary restraining order to remain in place until an eventual hearing;

14 5. This is the first request to extend time the parties have made pursuant to the motion
15 filed on September 9, 2016;

16 6. Upon information and belief, the parties do not believe this request for an extension
17 of time will prejudice any party or inconvenience the Court.

18 Accordingly, subject to the approval of the Court, the parties stipulate as follows:

19 1. Defendants shall file and serve a response to Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary
20 injunction by October 6, 2016; and

21 2. Plaintiff may file a reply to Defendants’ response by October 13, 2016.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

