

1 CHRISTOPHER J. HAMNER, ESQ. (SBN 197117)  
 chamner@hamnerlaw.com  
 2 AMY T. WOOTTON, ESQ. (SBN 188856)  
 awootton@hamnerlaw.com  
 3 EVELINA SERAFINI, ESQ. – Of Counsel (SBN 187137)  
 eserafini@hamnerlaw.com  
 4 HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC  
 555 W. 5th Street, 31st Floor  
 5 Los Angeles, California 90013  
 Telephone: (213) 533-4160  
 6 Facsimile: (213) 533-4167

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 RICARDO DEL RIO and TONY MEHRDAD SAGHEBIAN

8 ROBERT G. HULTENG, Bar No. 071293  
 rhulteng@littler.com  
 9 JOHN C. FISH, Jr., Bar No. 160620  
 jfish@littler.com  
 10 ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, Bar No. 245998  
 aspurchise@littler.com  
 11 ROXANNA IRAN, Bar No. 273625  
 riran@littler.com  
 12 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
 13 650 California Street, 20th Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94108.2693  
 14 Telephone: 415.433.1940  
 Facsimile: 415.399.8490

15 Attorneys for Defendants  
 16 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and  
 RASIER-CA, LLC

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19  
 20  
 21 RICARDO DEL RIO, an individual  
 California resident and TONY MEHRDAD  
 SAGHEBIAN, an individual California  
 22 resident, on behalf of themselves and all  
 others similarly situated,

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

25 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a  
 26 Delaware Corporation, RASIER-CA, LLC,  
 a Delaware Limited Liability Company,  
 27 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-03667-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING  
 MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION  
 BRIEFING**

Complaint Filed: August 11, 2015  
 FAC Filed: September 21, 2015  
 Trial Date: None set

1  
2 Pursuant to Rule 6-1(b) of the Local Rules of the United States District for the Northern  
3 District of California, Plaintiffs RICARDO DEL RIO and TONY MEHRDAD SAGHEBIAN  
4 (“Plaintiffs”), and Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER-CA, LLC  
5 (“Defendants”) (collectively, “the Parties”), through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and  
6 agree that the deadline for Plaintiffs’ Response to Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration be  
7 extended from October 28, 2015 to November 11, 2015 and the deadline for Defendants’ Reply  
8 extended from November 4 to November 23 in order to provide Plaintiffs adequate time to prepare a  
9 Response to Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration and to provide Defendants with adequate  
10 time to prepare a Reply in support of Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration.

11 There has been no previous request to extend the timing for the deadlines associated with  
12 Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration. This stipulation will not require the alteration of the  
13 hearing date already set by Court Order. See Dkt. 16.

14  
15 Dated: October 19, 2015

16  
17 /s/ Roxanna Iran  
18 ROBERT G. HULTENG  
19 JOHN C. FISH, Jr.  
20 ANDREW M. SPURCHISE  
21 ROXANNA IRAN  
22 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
23 Attorneys for Defendants  
24 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
25 RASIER-CA, LLC

26  
27 Dated: October 19, 2015

28 /s/ Amy T. Wootton  
CHRISTOPHER J. HAMNER  
AMY T. WOOTTON  
EVELINA SERAFINI  
HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
RICARDO DEL RIO

\*Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10/23/15

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

