.com

| 1   | HAROLD H. DAVIS, JR. (SBN 235552)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2   | harold.davis@klgates.com<br>K&L GATES LLP                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200<br>San Francisco, California 94111     |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | Tel: (415)882-8200<br>Fax: (415)882-8220                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | Attorneys for Defendants CYBERLINK CORP.,                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | PERFECT CORP. (CALIFORNIA), PERFECT<br>CORP. (CAYMAN ISLANDS)              |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | Otto O. Lee, CA Bar No. 173987<br>olee@iplg.com                            |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | Kevin Viau, CA Bar No. 275556<br>kviau@iplg.com                            |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | Bonnie J. Wolf, CA Bar No. 284872<br>bonniewolf@iplg.com                   |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP L<br>12 South First Street, 12th Floor     | LP   |  |  |  |
| 11  | San Jose, California 95113<br>Tel: (408) 286-8933                          |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | Fax: (408) 286-8932  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | Attorneys for Plaintiff ARCSOFT INC.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 14  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | SAN JOS  | E DIVISION<br>WHO  |  |  |  |
| 18  | ARCSOFT INC.,  | Case No. 5:15-cv-03707-P <del>SG</del>                           |  |  |  |
| 19  | Plaintiff,   | STIPULATION TO CHANGE TIME OF<br>BRIEFING SCHEDULE, HEARING ON   |  |  |  |
| 20  | v.   | ARCSOFT'S PRELIMINARY<br>INJUNCTION MOTION, AND DATE FOR         |  |  |  |
| 21  | CYBERLINK CORP., a Taiwan, R.O.C. corporation, PERFECT CORP., a California | DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO<br>COMPLAINT, AND RE: DEFENDANTS'        |  |  |  |
| 22  | corporation, and PERFECT CORP., a Cayman<br>Islands corporation,           | ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF<br>PROCESS; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER |  |  |  |
| 23  | Defendants.  |  |  |  |  |
| 24  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER<br>CASE NO.: 5:15-CV-03707-PSG |  |  |  |  |  |

| 1        | <b>STIPULATION</b>  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| 2        | Per L. R. 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Arcsoft Inc. ("Arcsoft") and defendants Cyberlink Corp.,              |  |  |  |
| 3        | Perfect Corp. (California), and Perfect Corp. (Cayman Islands) (collectively "Defendants" and,          |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | together with Arcsoft, the "Parties") hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, |  |  |  |
| 6        | and jointly submit this Stipulation as follows:   |  |  |  |
| 7        | 1. Arcsoft filed its Complaint against Defendants on August 13, 2015.                                   |  |  |  |
| 8        | 2. Arcsoft filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction [Dkt. # 10-16] and supporting                      |  |  |  |
| 9        | materials on September 1, 2015.   |  |  |  |
| 10       | 3. Arcsoft served the Summons, Complaint and the moving papers filed in support of                      |  |  |  |
| 11       | Arcsoft's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (collectively, "Plaintiff's Pleadings") on Defendant        |  |  |  |
| 12       | Perfect Corp. (California) on September 2, 2015. Arcsoft has not yet served the foreign based           |  |  |  |
| 13<br>14 | defendants, Cyberlink Corp. or Perfect Corp. (Cayman Islands) (collectively, the "Foreign               |  |  |  |
| 15       | Defendants").   |  |  |  |
| 16       | 4. Acting by and through their authorized counsel-of-record herein as captioned above,                  |  |  |  |
| 17       | Defendants have agreed to accept service of Plaintiff's Pleadings in exchange for moving certain        |  |  |  |
| 18       | deadlines.  |  |  |  |
| 19       | 5. In exchange for Defendants accepting service as stated above, the Parties have                       |  |  |  |
| 20       | mutually agreed that (a) the deadline for Defendants to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the       |  |  |  |
| 21<br>22 | Complaint under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure would be November 10, 2015, and (b) the            |  |  |  |
| 22       | deadline for Defendants to file their opposition to Arcsoft's Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall   |  |  |  |
| 24       | be moved from September 15, 2015 to November 10, 2015.  |  |  |  |
| 25       | 6. In the interest of judicial economy, Defendants also stipulate not to file any motion to             |  |  |  |
| 26       | dismiss the Complaint before November 10, 2015 nor one with a hearing before December 8, 2015 or        |  |  |  |
| 27       |   |  |  |  |
| 28       |   |  |  |  |
|          | 1   |  |  |  |
|          | STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER<br>CASE NO.: 5:15-CV-03707-PSG                              |  |  |  |

any later hearing on Arcsoft's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Defendants further stipulate and agree that they shall be subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court in this action.

7. The Parties further stipulate that the deadline for Arcsoft to file its reply brief in support of its motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be moved from September 22, 2015 to November 24, 2015.

8. The Parties also agree and request of the Court that, in light of the above-stipulated 8 extensions on the briefing schedule, the hearing on Arcsoft's motion for preliminary injunction be rescheduled from October 6, 2015 to December 8, 2015 or thereafter as appropriate with the Court's 10 calendar.

9. The Parties submit that the above-referenced extensions will allow sufficient time for the Defendants to adequately respond to the Complaint and the Motion for Preliminary Injunction, in light of the foreign residence of two defendants, the fact that Defendants' counsel will be out of the country during the currently scheduled hearing date on the motion for preliminary injunction, and due to the allegations and issues presented by Arcsoft's filings.

10. None of the Parties have previously requested any change to any deadline in this case.

11. This request affects only the hearing date for the preliminary injunction and the briefing schedule on that motion. It does not affect any other dates currently scheduled by the Court.

Now, therefore, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

Defendants have agreed to accept service of Plaintiff's Pleadings through their a. authorized counsel-of-record as listed above.

Defendants have agreed not to file any motion to dismiss the Complaint before b. November 10, 2015 nor one with a hearing before December 8, 2015 or any later

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

| 1        |                                  | hearing on Arcsoft's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Defendants have agreed that        |
|----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 2        |                                  | they shall be subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court in this action.               |
| 3        | с.                               | Defendants' time to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Arcsoft's Complaint and          |
| 4        |                                  | Motion for a Preliminary Injunction is moved to on or before November 10, 2015.            |
| 5        | d.                               | Arcsoft's time to file a reply brief in support of its Motion for a Preliminary Injunction |
| 6        |                                  | is moved to on or before November 24, 2015.  |
| 7        | e.                               | The hearing on Arcsoft's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, if any, will occur on or     |
| 8        | с.                               | after December 8, 2015.  |
| 9<br>10  |                                  |  |
| 10<br>11 | ///                              |  |
| 11       |                                  |  |
| 13       |                                  |  |
| 14       |                                  |  |
| 15       |                                  |  |
| 16       |                                  |  |
| 17       |                                  |  |
| 18       |                                  |  |
| 19       |                                  |  |
| 20       |                                  |  |
| 21       |                                  |  |
| 22       |                                  |  |
| 23       |                                  |  |
| 24       |                                  |  |
| 25       |                                  |  |
| 26<br>27 |                                  |  |
| 27<br>28 |                                  |  |
| 28       |                                  | 3  |
|          | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |  |
|          | CASE NO.: 5:15-CV-03707-PSG      |  |

| 1        | IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | Dated: September 11, 2015  | Respectfully submitted,  |  |  |  |
| 3        | -  |  |  |  |  |
| 4        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | <u>/s/ Otto O. Lee</u><br>Otto O. Lee                                    | <u>/s/ Harold H. Davis</u><br>Harold H. Davis  |  |  |  |
| 6        | <u>olee@iplg.com</u><br>Kevin Viau                                       | harold.davis@klgates.com<br>K&L GATES LLP  |  |  |  |
| 7        | kviau@iplg.com   | 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200   |  |  |  |
| 8        | Bonnie J. Wolf<br>bonniewolf@iplg.com                                    | San Francisco, CA 94111<br>Tel: (415) 882-8200   |  |  |  |
| 9        | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP LLP<br>12 South First Street, 12th Floor | Fax: (415) 882-8220  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11 | San Jose, California 95113<br>Tel: (408) 286-8933<br>Fax: (408) 286-8932 | Attorneys for Defendants Cyberlink Corp.,<br>Perfect Corp. (California), Perfect Corp.<br>(Cayman Islands) |  |  |  |
| 12       | Attorneys for Arcsoft Inc.   |  |  |  |  |
| 13       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | SIGNATURE ATTESTATION  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | I hereby attest pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5.1                         | that concurrence in the electronic filing of this  |  |  |  |
| 16       | document has been obtained from the other signatory.                     |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | Dated: September 11, 2015  | /s/ Otto O. Lee  |  |  |  |
| 18       |  | Otto O. Lee  |  |  |  |
| 19       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | [PROPOSED]   |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO  | ORDERED.   |  |  |  |
| 22       | Hearing on Motion to Dismiss is set for Decen                            | nber 9, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.  |  |  |  |
| 23       | DATED: September $\frac{15}{2}$ , 2015                                   | - A.De   |  |  |  |
| 24       | The Hon<br>Judge of  | orable William H. Orrick<br>the U.S. District Court  |  |  |  |
| 25       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | 4  |  |  |  |  |
|          | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER   |  |  |  |  |
|          | CASE NO.: 5:15-CV-03707-PSG  |  |  |  |  |