

1 IRWIN M. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#89957)  
 2 DEVIN M. STOREY, ESQ. (#234271)  
 ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#280813)  
 3 RYAN M. COHEN, ESQ. (#261313)  
 The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.  
 4 12555 High Bluff Drive, Suite 301  
 San Diego, CA 92130  
 5 Tel: 858-259-3011  
 Fax: 858-259-3015  
 6 Email: [Irwin@zalkin.com](mailto:Irwin@zalkin.com)  
[dms@zalkin.com](mailto:dms@zalkin.com)  
[alex@zalkin.com](mailto:alex@zalkin.com)  
[ryan@zalkin.com](mailto:ryan@zalkin.com)

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
8

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 SOFIE KARASEK, individually;  
 12 NICOLETTA COMMINS, individually;  
 13 ARYLE BUTLER, individually;

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY  
 OF CALIFORNIA, a public entity, and  
 17 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

18 Defendants.  
19  
20

) Case No: 3:15-cv-03717-WHO

) **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO**  
 ) **RESPOND TO DEFENDANT’S RULE**  
 ) **12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS;**  
 ) **ORDER**

) Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor  
 ) Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

1 **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT’S RULE 12(B)(6)**

2 **MOTION TO DISMISS**

3 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the parties to the above entitled action, by and through their  
4 respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Third Amended Complaint (“TAC”) in this Court on April  
6 11, 2016;

7 WHEREAS, Defendant filed a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Third Amended  
8 Complaint (“Motion to Dismiss”) on May 9, 2016;

9 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ response to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is due on May 23, 2016;

10 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiffs may have an extension of 7 days to  
11 respond to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss, which would make Plaintiffs’ response due on Tuesday,  
12 May 31, 2016;

13 WHEREAS, currently, Defendant’s reply to Plaintiffs’ response is due on May 31, 2016;

14 WHEREAS, Defendant’s new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs’ response to Defendant’s  
15 Motion to Dismiss would be June 7, 2016;

16 WHEREAS, currently, the hearing date on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for  
17 June 15, 2016;

18 WHEREAS, if, given the new briefing schedule, the original hearing date is not convenient  
19 for the Court, the Court will move the hearing date to \_\_\_\_\_; and

20 WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter any other date of any event or any deadline  
21 already fixed by Court order.

22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiffs and Defendant, by and through their  
23 undersigned attorneys, that Plaintiff shall have a 7-day extension to respond to Defendant’s Rule  
24 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Third Amended Complaint, making Plaintiffs’ response due  
25 on or before May 31, 2016.

1 DATED: May 18, 2016

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS  
HAILYN J. CHEN

2  
3 By: /s/ Bradley S. Phillips  
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS  
Attorneys for Defendant

4  
5 DATED: May 18, 2016

THE ZALKIN LAW FIRM, P.C.  
IRWIN M. ZALKIN  
DEVIN M. STOREY  
ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN  
RYAN M. COHEN

6  
7  
8  
9 By: /s/ Alexander S. Zalkin  
Alexander S. Zalkin  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


10  
11 **ORDER**

12 Plaintiffs' new response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is due on Tuesday, May 31,  
13 2016;

14 Defendant's new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss  
15 is due on June 7, 2016;

16 The hearing date on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss remains June 15, 2016.

17  
18 Dated: May 24, 2016

19   
Hon. William H. Orrick  
Judge of the United States District Court