

1 IRWIN M. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#89957)
2 DEVIN M. STOREY, ESQ. (#234271)
3 ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#280813)
4 RYAN M. COHEN, ESQ. (#261313)
5 The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.
6 12555 High Bluff Drive, Suite 301
7 San Diego, CA 92130
8 Tel: 858-259-3011
9 Fax: 858-259-3015
10 Email: Irwin@zalkin.com
11 dms@zalkin.com
12 alex@zalkin.com
13 ryan@zalkin.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17 SOFIE KARASEK, individually;
18 NICOLETTA COMMINS, individually;
19 ARYLE BUTLER, individually;

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
23 OF CALIFORNIA, a public entity, and
24 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

26) Case No: 3:15-cv-03717-WHO

27) **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO**
28) **RESPOND TO DEFENDANT’S RULE**
29) **12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS;**
30) **AMENDED ORDER**

31) Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor
32) Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

1 **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT’S RULE 12(B)(6)**

2 **MOTION TO DISMISS**

3 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the parties to the above entitled action, by and through their
4 respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Third Amended Complaint (“TAC”) in this Court on April
6 11, 2016;

7 WHEREAS, Defendant filed a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Third Amended
8 Complaint (“Motion to Dismiss”) on May 9, 2016;

9 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ response to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is due on May 23, 2016;

10 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiffs may have an extension of 7 days to
11 respond to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss, which would make Plaintiffs’ response due on Tuesday,
12 May 31, 2016;

13 WHEREAS, currently, Defendant’s reply to Plaintiffs’ response is due on May 31, 2016;

14 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Defendant’s new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs’
15 response to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss would be June 10, 2016;

16 WHEREAS, currently, the hearing date on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for
17 June 15, 2016;

18 WHEREAS, if, given the new briefing schedule, the original hearing date is not convenient
19 for the Court, the Court will move the hearing date to June 22, 2016; and

20 WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter any other date of any event or any deadline
21 already fixed by Court order.

22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiffs and Defendant, by and through their
23 undersigned attorneys, that Plaintiff shall have a 7-day extension to respond to Defendant’s Rule
24 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Third Amended Complaint, making Plaintiffs’ response due
25 on or before May 31, 2016.

1 DATED: May 20, 2016

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS
HAILYN J. CHEN

2
3 By: /s/ Jeslyn A. Everitt
Jeslyn A. Everitt
Attorneys for Defendant

4
5 DATED: May 20, 2016

6 THE ZALKIN LAW FIRM, P.C.
IRWIN M. ZALKIN
DEVIN M. STOREY
7 ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN
8 RYAN M. COHEN

9 By: /s/ Alexander S. Zalkin
Alexander S. Zalkin
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


10
11 **AMENDED ORDER**

12 Plaintiffs' new response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is due on Tuesday, May 31,
13 2016;

14 Defendant's new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
15 is due on June 10, 2016;

16 The hearing date on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for June 15, 2016; given
17 the new briefing schedule, the original hearing date is not convenient for the Court, the Court moves
18 the hearing date to June 22, 2016.

19
20 Dated: May 25, 2016

21 
Hon. William H. Orrick
Judge of the United States District Court