

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT L. STEINBERG, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
PROVIDENT FUNDING ASSOCIATES,  
L.P.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-03743-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Mediation deadline (ECF No. 39)	September 15, 2016
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	October 7, 2016
Deadline to file class certification motion	April 21, 2017
Class certification opposition	May 19, 2017
Class certification reply	June 2, 2017
Hearing on class certification motion	June 22, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.
Fact discovery cut-off	March 24, 2017
Expert disclosures	July 28, 2017
Expert rebuttal	August 25, 2017

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Expert discovery cut-off	September 29, 2017
Deadline to file dispositive motions	September 29, 2017
Pretrial conference statement due	December 22, 2017
Pretrial conference	January 5, 2018
Trial	January 29, 2018
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

This case will be tried to a jury.<sup>1</sup>

The Court expresses no view now as to the timing of Provident’s anticipated summary judgment motion. See ECF No. 44 at 4.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court’s standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

///  
///  
///

---

<sup>1</sup> In the parties’ Joint Case Management Statement, Provident contends that “Plaintiffs are not entitled to a jury trial under the terms of the governing loan agreements.” ECF No. 44 at 7. The Court does not determine that issue now.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for August 10, 2016 is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 28, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge