

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 2 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., SBN 132099
 3 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
 4 THEANE D. EVANGELIS, SBN 243570
 5 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com
 6 333 South Grand Avenue
 7 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
 8 Telephone: 213.229.7000
 9 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

7 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 8 JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557
 9 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
 10 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
 11 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
 12 Telephone: 415.393.8200
 13 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

11 Attorneys for Defendants
 12 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and
 13 RASIER-CA LLC

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 GREG FISHER, on behalf of himself and
 17 the proposed collective class,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a
 21 Delaware corporation, RASIER-CA LLC,
 22 a Delaware Limited Liability Company,
 23 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

24 Defendants.

CHRISTOPHER J. HAMNER (SBN 197117)
 chamner@hamnerlaw.com
 AMY T. WOOTTON (SBN 188856)
 awootton@hamnerlaw.com
 EVELINA SERAFINI (SBN 187137)
 eserafini@hamnerlaw.com
 HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC
 555 W. 5th Street, 31st Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90013
 Telephone: (213) 533-4160
 Facsimile: (213) 533-4167

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 GREG FISHER

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-03774-EMC

**STIPULATION REGARDING MOTION TO
 COMPEL ARBITRATION BRIEFING**

1 Pursuant to Rule 6-1(b) of the Local Rules of the United States District for the Northern
2 District of California, Plaintiff GREG FISHER (“Plaintiff”), and Defendants UBER
3 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER-CA, LLC (“Defendants”) (collectively, “the Parties”),
4 through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree that the deadline for Plaintiff’s
5 Response to Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration be extended from October 28, 2015 to
6 November 11, 2015 and the deadline for Defendants’ Reply extended from November 4 to
7 November 23 in order to provide Plaintiffs adequate time to prepare a Response to Defendants’
8 Motion to Compel Arbitration and to provide Defendants with adequate time to prepare a Reply in
9 support of Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration.

10 There has been no previous request to extend the timing for the deadlines associated with
11 Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration. This stipulation will not require the alteration of the
12 hearing date already set by Court Order.

13
14 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

15
16 Dated: October 30, 2015

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

17
18 By: /s/ _____
Joshua S. Lipshutz

19 Attorneys for Defendants UBER
20 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER-CA LLC

21 Dated: October 30, 2015

HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC

22
23 By: /s/ _____
Christopher J. Hamner

24 Attorneys for Plaintiff GREG FISHER
25
26
27
28

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1, I, Christopher J. Hamner hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Joshua S. Lipshutz.

DATED: October 29, 2015

HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC

By: _____ /s/
Christopher J. Hamner

Attorneys for Plaintiff GREG FISHER

IT IS SO ORDERED. The hearing on the motion to compel is rescheduled from 12/7/15 to 12/10/15 at 1:30 p.m.

Date: _____ 10/30/15

