

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HELLO NETWORK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HELLO AND HOLA MEDIA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-03838-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	February 1, 2016
Fact discovery cut-off	May 31, 2016
Expert disclosures	June 30, 2016
Expert rebuttal	July 29, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	August 19, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive and Daubert motions	October 6, 2016
Oppositions to dispositive and Daubert motions	November 3, 2016
Reply briefs in support of dispositive and Daubert motions	November 17, 2016
Hearing date on dispositive and Daubert motions	December 1, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	January 3, 2017

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	January 13, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	February 6, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

The Court will refer the case to Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Corley for settlement by separate order.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

For the most part, the parties proposed these dates, and they should assume that the Court will enforce them. Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 21, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge