

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BENJAMIN PEREZ,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
MONSTER INC., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-03885-EMC

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS’  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
SHORTEN TIME**

Docket No. 84

Defendants have asked the Court to hear their motion to stay proceedings on shortened time. Having considered the parties’ briefs and accompanying submissions, the Court hereby **DENIES** Defendants’ request for relief. Defendants have failed to establish “substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court did not change the time.” Civ. L.R. 6-3(a)(3). In addition, although there may be a settlement in principle in the Illinois state proceedings, the Court cannot assess whether there should be a stay in the instant case until the settlement terms are finalized and, accordingly, shortened time is premature as well. Furthermore, Plaintiffs have raised at least a prima facie case that even a settlement in the Illinois state proceedings will not necessarily dispose of the instant action (*i.e.*, there is not complete overlap between the instant action and the Illinois case).

///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Although, under the Civil Local Rules, Defendants' motion to stay would ordinarily be heard on August 11, 2016, the undersigned is not available on that day. Accordingly, the motion to stay is hereby scheduled for **August 18, 2016, at 1:30 p.m.** The briefing schedule remains unchanged.

This order disposes of Docket No. 84.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 8, 2016

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge