UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOKHA KHEK,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-03902-MEJ

ORDER RE: STATEMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

As set forth in the Procedural Order for Social Security Review Actions (Dkt. No. 4), this matter will be deemed submitted for decision without oral argument based on the parties' motions for summary judgment and/or remand. In connection with their motions, the parties shall file either a joint statement or separate statements of the Administrative Record, summarizing: (1) the procedural history of the case; (2) testimonial evidence from the hearing(s) before the Administrative Law Judge; and (3) all relevant medical evidence of record. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the parties to meet and confer by June 20, 2016 for the purpose of determining whether they are able to file a joint statement of the Administrative Record or whether it is necessary for the parties to file separate statements. Given that this case was recently reassigned to the undersigned magistrate judge, the parties may file a stipulation to extend the deadline to meet and confer as well as motion filing deadlines.

Joint Statement of the Administrative Record A.

If the parties are able to agree on a joint statement of the Administrative Record, the statement shall be signed by both parties and filed by the same deadline as Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment or for remand. Each fact must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and cite to a specific portion of the Administrative Record where the fact finds support. The

statement shall include separate headings for each of the three areas listed above, but the numbering for all three sections shall be continuous.

B. Separate Statements of the Administrative Record

If the parties are unable to agree on a joint statement, they shall comply with the following requirements for separate statements of the Administrative Record.

1. Plaintiff's Separate Statement of the Administrative Record

At the time Plaintiff files the motion for summary judgment or for remand, Plaintiff must also file a statement, separate from the motion and memorandum of law, setting forth each fact from the Administrative Record on which Plaintiff relies in support of the motion. Each fact must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and cite to a specific portion of the Administrative Record where the fact finds support. The statement shall include separate headings for each of the three areas listed above, but the numbering for all three sections shall be continuous. A failure to submit a separate statement in this form may constitute grounds for denial of the motion.

2. Defendant's Separate Statement of the Administrative Record

At the time Defendant files the cross-motion for summary judgment or for remand,
Defendant must also file a statement, separate from the motion and memorandum of law, setting
forth: (a) for each paragraph of Plaintiff's separate statement, a correspondingly numbered
paragraph indicating whether Defendant disputes the statement of fact as set forth by Plaintiff and,
if disputed, a reference to the specific portion of the Administrative Record supporting
Defendant's position; and (b) any additional facts from the Administrative Record on which
Defendant relies in support of the motion. Each additional fact must be set forth in a separately
numbered paragraph and cite to a specific portion of the Administrative Record where the fact
finds support.

3. Reply Statement of Facts

If Defendant sets forth additional facts in the cross-motion, Plaintiff shall file a statement, separate from the reply brief, with correspondingly numbered paragraphs indicating whether Plaintiff disputes the statement of fact as set forth by Defendant and, if disputed, a reference to the specific portion of the Administrative Record supporting Plaintiff's position. Facts that are not

United States District Court Northern District of California

already included in the motion and/or opposition are not permitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 7, 2016

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge