1	
2	
3	
4	
5 6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
0	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	FARIBA NASSERI, No. C 15-04001 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER DENYING STIPULATION
13	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., TO CONTINUE DATES
14	Defendant.
15	/
16	The Court has received the parties' stipulated request to continue all dates for four
17	months while Wells Fargo considers plaintiff for a loan modification. There is no reason why
18	the parties cannot continue to litigate while the loan modification process plays out. The
19	undersigned judge has learned the hard way that extending dates as requested simply allows the
20	parties to focus on something else. The request to continue all dates for four months is DENIED .
21	If, however, Wells Fargo represents to the Court, in writing, that there is a high chance plaintiff
22	will be approved for a loan modification and plaintiff agrees, in writing, that such a
23	modification would definitely settle the case, then the Court would consider a three week
24	extension of all dates. Meanwhile, all dates remain in place.
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Datadi Marah 22 2016
28	Dated: March 22, 2016. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com