

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 MEDEANALYTICS, INC.,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,
12 Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-04101-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

13
14 Good cause having been shown, both parties may file early cross motions for summary
15 judgment regarding the existence of a duty to defend, which motions will not count against the
16 limit of one motion for summary judgment per party in the Court's standing order for civil cases.

17 The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
18 Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	December 17, 2015
Fact discovery cut-off	August 26, 2016
Expert disclosures	September 16, 2016
Expert rebuttal	October 7, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	October 21, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive motions	November 11, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	January 24, 2017

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	February 3, 2017 at 2:00 p.m. in Oakland
Trial	February 27, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	4 to 6 days

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court’s standing orders, which are available at cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2015



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge