

1 Christopher W. Arledge (Bar No. 200767)
 Email: carledge@onellp.com
 2 Peter R. Afrasiabi (Bar No. 193336)
 Email: pafrasiabi@onellp.com
 3 Molly J. Magnuson (Bar No. 229444)
 Email: mmagnuson@onellp.com

4 **ONE LLP**
 4000 MacArthur Boulevard
 5 East Tower, Suite 500
 Newport Beach, California 92660
 6 Telephone: (949) 502-2870
 Facsimile: (949) 258-5081

7 *Attorneys for Defendant,*
 8 *9Global, Inc.*

9
 10
 11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13

14 ELIZABETH LLOYD, an individual,
 15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 VIPUL GUPTA, an individual; NANDITA
 18 GUPTA, an individual; ASHOK GUPTA,
 an individual; BHARAT GUPTA, an
 19 individual; AKSHAY GUPTA, an
 individual; 60MONTHLOANS, INC., a
 20 California Corporation, and Does 1
 through 50, inclusive,

21 Defendants,

22 and

23 9GLOBAL, INC., a California
 Corporation,

24 Defendant and Nominal
 25 Defendant.

26
 27 **AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM**
 28

Case No. 3:15-cv-04183-MEJ
 Hon. Maria-Elena James

**STIPULATION FOR ORDER
 GRANTING DEFENDANT 9GLOBAL,
 INC. LEAVE TO FILE A SECOND
 AMENDED ANSWER; ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER**

**STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOR 9GLOBAL TO FILE SECOND AMENDED ANSWER;
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

1 WHEREAS, this action was originally filed by Plaintiff Elizabeth Lloyd (“Plaintiff”)
2 in San Mateo Superior Court on August 12, 2015;

3 WHEREAS, on September 11, 2015, Defendant 9Global, Inc. (“Defendant”) filed an
4 Answer to Plaintiff’s complaint (the “Answer”) in the Superior Court as a general denial
5 pursuant to state-specific procedures;

6 WHEREAS, this action was removed to this Court on September 14, 2015;

7 WHEREAS, Defendant filed an Amended Answer to the Complaint in this Court on
8 December 1, 2015;

9 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has demanded that Defendant again amend its answer and, in
10 doing so, has argued that Defendant’s Amended Answer fails to comply with Rule 11 of
11 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

12 WHEREAS, although Defendant disputes that the Amended Answer fails to comply
13 with Rule 11, it has voluntarily agreed to file a Second Amended Answer;

14 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has indicated that she will stipulate to Defendant filing a
15 Second Amended Answer;

16 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties that
17 Defendant shall be granted leave to file a Second Amended Answer, and that such Answer
18 shall be filed within 7 days of the date the Court grants the Parties’ stipulation.

19
20 Respectfully submitted,

21
22 Dated: January 4, 2016

ONE LLP

23
24 By: /s/ Molly J. Magnuson
25 Christopher W. Arledge
26 Molly J. Magnuson
27 Attorney for Defendant,
28 9Global, Inc.

1 Dated: January 4, 2016

ALTO LITIGATION, PC

2
3 By: /s/ Bahram Seyedin-Noor

4 Bahram Seyedin-Noor

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff,

6 Elizabeth Lloyd

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant 9Global, Inc. is granted leave to file a Second Amended Answer within 7 days of the date of this Order.

Dated: January 5, 2016



Hon. Maria-Elena James
United States Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28