

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL MACARAEG, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly Situated, and
as Private Attorney General,

Plaintiff,

v.

ABM SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a
California Corporation; ABM ONSITE
SERVICES – WEST, INC., a Delaware
Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04470-RS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATED REQUEST TO SET
SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO REMAND,
MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
DEADLINES**

ACTION FILED: May 1, 2015
ASSIGNED TO: Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 **~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

2 On October 15, 2015, Plaintiff Michael Macaraeg (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants ABM Security
3 Services, Inc. and ABM Onsite Services – West, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) filed a stipulated
4 request to extend and modify certain deadlines associated with anticipated motion practice.

5 Good cause appearing, the Court sets the following deadlines follows:

6

ACTION	DEADLINE
Plaintiff to File Motion to Remand	Tuesday, October 29, 2015
Defendants to File Opposition to Motion to Remand	Tuesday, November 19, 2015
Plaintiff to File Reply in Support of Motion To Remand	Thursday, December 3, 2015
Hearing on Motion to Remand	December 17, 2015
Defendants to File Motion to Dismiss	28 calendar days from date of Court’s ruling on Motion to Remand
Plaintiff to File Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	21 calendar days from date of filing of Motion to Dismiss
Defendants to File Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	14 calendar days from date of filing of Opposition to Motion to Dismiss
Hearing on Motion to Dismiss	First Thursday after 14 calendar days from date of filing of Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: 10/19, 2015

22 
23 The Honorable Richard Seeborg
24 U.S. District Judge