

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL MACARAEG, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly Situated, and
as Private Attorney General,

Plaintiff,

v.

ABM SECURITY SERVICES, INC., a
California Corporation; ABM ONSITE
SERVICES – WEST, INC., a Delaware
Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04470-RS

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION REQUESTING ORDER
SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
MOTION TO TRANSFER AND MOTION
TO DISMISS

ACTION FILED: May 1, 2015
ASSIGNED TO: Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

2 On November 20, 2015, Plaintiff Michael Macaraeg (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants ABM
3 Security Services, Inc. and ABM Onsite Services – West, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) filed a
4 stipulated request to extend and modify certain deadlines associated with anticipated motion practice.

5 Good cause appearing, the Court sets the following deadlines follows:

6

ACTION	DEADLINE
Defendant to File Motion to Transfer	Thursday, December 17, 2015
Plaintiff to File Opposition to Motion to Transfer	Thursday, January 14, 2016
Defendant to File Reply in Support of Motion to Transfer	Thursday, January 28, 2016
Hearing on Motion to Transfer	February 25, 2016
Defendants to File Motion to Dismiss	14 calendar days from date of Court’s ruling on Motion to Transfer
Plaintiff to File Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	21 calendar days from date of filing of Motion to Dismiss
Defendants to File Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	14 calendar days from date of filing of Opposition to Motion to Dismiss
Hearing on Motion to Dismiss	First Available Hearing Date after 14 calendar days from date of filing of Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: 11/23, 2015

22 

23 The Honorable Richard Seeborg
24 U.S. District Judge