## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAYLA REZ	VAN, Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 15-cv-04767-HSG	
	v. CTRONICS NORTH ORPORATION, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
	el report that they have met and ulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:			
Court Processes:  □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  □ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)			
appreciably m ADR phone co	ore likely to meet their needs t	dement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR must participate in an after form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Privat	e Process:		
X	Private ADR (please identify under discussion	process and provider) private mediation; provider	
The parties ag	± • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)	
X	other requested deadline Jul management conference)	y 15, 2016 (180 days from Rule 16 case	
Dated: December 17, 2015		LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES J. WISCH	

Attorney for Plaintiff

gwood S. Jell J. ED STATES JUDGE

## **[PROPOSED]** ORDER

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.

□ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/21/2015

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."