1	Sara B. Brody, SBN 130222			
2	sbrody@sidley.com			
3	Jaime A. Bartlett, SBN 251825 jbartlett@sidley.com			
4	Sarah A. Hemmendinger, SBN 298659 shemmendinger@sidley.com			
	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP			
5	555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104			
6	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400			
7	Norman J. Blears, SBN 95600			
8	nblears@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP			
9	1001 Page Mill Road, Building 1			
0	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 565-7000			
1	Facsimile: (650) 565-7100			
2	Attorneys for Defendants TerraForm Global, Inc., SunEdison, Inc., Ahmad Chatila, Carlos			
	Domenech Zornoza, Jeremy Avenier, Martin Truong, Brian Wuebbels			
3	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
6	JUAN M. RODRIGUEZ BELTRAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others	) )Case No. 3:15-cv-04981-WHO		
7	Similarly Situated,	)		
8	Plaintiff,	)		
9	V.	) ) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del>		
0	TERRAFORM GLOBAL, INC., et al.,	<ul> <li>ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR</li> <li>DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO THE</li> </ul>		
	Defendants.	) COMPLAINTS		
1		) _)		
2	PYRAMID HOLDINGS, INC., Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	) Case No. 3:15-cv-05068-RS		
3	Plaintiff,	)		
4				
5				
6	TERRAFORM GLOBAL, INC., et al.,			
7	Defendants.	) _)		
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTE 3:15cv498			
	5.130.446	Dockets.Justia.c		

Plaintiff Juan M. Rodriguez Beltran, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Plaintiff Pyramid Holdings, Inc., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by through their undersigned counsel, ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants TerraForm Global, Inc., SunEdison, Inc., Ahmad Chatila, Carlos Domenech Zornoza, Jeremy Avenier, Martin Truong, Brian Wuebbels, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC., Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., BTG Pactual US Capital LLC, Itaú BBA Securities, Inc., SMBC Nikko Securities America, Inc., SG Americas Securities, LLC, and Kotak Mahindra, Inc. ("Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on October 29, 2015, Juan M. Rodriguez Beltran, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, filed a class action complaint captioned *Beltran v. TerraForm Global et al.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-04981-WHO (the "*Beltran* Action") against the Defendants alleging violations of the federal securities laws;

WHEREAS, on October 29, 2015, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(3)(A)(i), Plaintiff Beltran caused a notice to be published on *Businesswire*, a "widely circulated national businessoriented wire service," advising members of the purported class of, *inter alia*: (1) the pendency of the action; (2) the claims asserted therein; (3) the purported class period; and (4) that, not later than 60 days after the date on which the notice was published, any member of the purported class had the right to move the Court to serve as Lead Plaintiff of the class;

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2015, Pyramid Holdings, Inc., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, filed a class action complaint captioned *Pyramid Holdings v*. *TerraForm Global, Inc. et al.*, 3:15-cv-05068-RS (the "*Pyramid Holdings* Action") against the Defendants alleging violations of the federal securities laws;

WHEREAS, the *Beltran* Action and the *Pyramid Holdings* Action are class actions brought
against the same defendants, alleging the same violations of federal securities law in connection
with TerraForm Global's IPO, and proceeding before different judges would likely lead to an
unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense, and cause the potential for conflicting

<sup>2</sup> 

results;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the parties recognize that pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12, these actions are related and should be so marked, and agree that Defendants will prepare the appropriate Joint Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related;

WHEREAS, the Court in the *Beltran* Action and the *Pyramid Holdings* Action has issued Scheduling Orders which set certain different deadlines, including for ADR filings, Initial Case Management Conferences, and Initial Disclosures in each case;

WHEREAS, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(3)(B) the Court must appoint a Lead Plaintiff;

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2015 certain class members moved for appointment as Lead Plaintiff and lead counsel;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that, in light of the pending motions for appointment as Lead Plaintiff and lead counsel, and in the interests of judicial economy and the preservation of the Court's and the parties' resources, Defendants need not respond to the pending Complaints;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that, in light of the pending motions for appointment as Lead Plaintiff and lead counsel and the anticipated Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, and in the interests of judicial economy and the preservation of the Court's and the parties' resources, the deadlines set in the Scheduling Orders should be vacated and new dates set after the cases are related and consolidated;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated as follows:

- 1. These related actions shall be consolidated pursuant to the Court's process;
- 2. Deadlines set in the Court's Scheduling Orders shall be vacated and re-set following consolidation;
- 3. Defendants shall not be required to move or otherwise respond to the Complaints filed in the *Beltran* Action or the *Pyramid Holdings* Action until after the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(3) and after the filing by such Lead Plaintiff of a Consolidated complaint. Pursuant to L.R. 6-1(a), this paragraph shall be effective upon the filing of this Stipulation with the Court;

3

1	4. Following the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff, the parties shall submit to the Court		
2	a proposed schedule for the filing of a Consolidated complaint and the filing and		
3	briefing of any motion to dismiss; and		
4	5. This Stipulation is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking any interim		
5	relief.		
6			
7	Dated: January 8, 2016	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
8		By: /s/ Sara B. Brody Sara B. Brody	
9		Attorneys for Defendants	
10		TerraForm Global, Inc., SunEdison, Inc., Ahmad Chatila, Carlos Domenech Zornoza,	
11		Jeremy Avenier, Martin Truong, Brian Wuebbels	
12			
13	Dated: January 8, 2016	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP	
14			
15		By: /s/ Stephen D. Hibbard Stephen D. Hibbard	
16		Attorneys for Defendants J.P. Morgan	
17		Securities LLC., Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Morgan	
18		Stanley & Co. LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	
19 20		Incorporated, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., BTG Pactual US Capital LLC, Itaú BBA	
20		USA Securities, Inc., SMBC Nikko Securities America, Inc., SG Americas Securities, LLC, and Kotak Mahindra, Inc.	
21 22		and Kotak Wannidra, Inc.	
22	Dated: January 8, 2016	SCOTT + SCOTT, LLP	
23		By: /s/ Thomas L. Laughlin	
25		Thomas L. Laughlin	
26		Attorneys for Plaintiff Juan M. Rodriguez Beltran	
27		Detuan	
28			
-		4	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS 3:15cv4981-WHO	

1	1 Dated: January 8, 2016 ABRAH	HAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP	
2	2 By: /s/	Ian D. Berg	
3		D. Berg	
4	4 Att	corneys for Plaintiff Pyramid Holdings, Inc.	
5	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION		
6	I am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file the foregoing		
7	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time for Defendants to Respond to the Complaints. In		
8	compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the other signatories have concurred in thi		
9	9 filing.		
10			
11		Y AUSTIN LLP	
12		Sara B. Brody	
13	3	a B. Brody	
14	4 Tei	torneys for Defendants rraForm Global, Inc., SunEdison, Inc.,	
15	5 Jer Wu	mad Chatila, Carlos Domenech Zornoza, emy Avenier, Martin Truong, Brian uebbels	
16			
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDER	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18 19	Dated: <u>1/11/16</u>	2 Alahar	
20		ble Richard Seeborg	
21	United	States District Judge	
22			
23			
24			
25			
25			
20			
28			
20	5		
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS 3:15cv4981-WHO			