UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

A.K. PARDEEP, RATNAKAR DEV, THOMAS ROBBINS, and MOODCAST, INC.,	CASE NO. 15-cv-05229-EMC
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Plaintiffs,	ORDER SEELE TING ADAT ROCESC
v.	
SENTINEL INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED,	
Defendant.	
Counsel report that they have met following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.F.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	owing ADR process:
Court Processes: ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their need	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is Is than any other form of ADR must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: X Private ADR (please identity)	ify process and provider) Mediation at JAMS
The parties agree to hold the ADR session	ı by:
<u> </u>	The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
X other requested deadline Ju	nne 30, 2016
Dated: Jan. 29, 2016	/s/Brian R. Blackman Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Jan. 29, 2016	/s/ Ann K. Johnston


Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

oxditz The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.

☐ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/9/16



CERTIFICATION

I, Brian R. Blackman, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Selecting ADR Process. In compliance with Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Ann K. Johnston has concurred in this filing.

DATED: January 29, 2016 BLAXTER | BLACKMAN LLP

By: /s/Brian R. Blackman

BRIAN R. BLACKMAN
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
A.K. PRADEEP, RATNAKAR DEV, THOMAS
ROBBINS and MOODCAST, INC.