

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 ROBERT MACKINNON,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 LOGITECH INC., et al.,
8 Defendants.


Case No. 15-cv-05231-TEH

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

9
10 In support of their motion for summary judgment, Defendants seek to file under seal
11 the transcript of a November 11, 2014 company meeting as Exhibit J to the Declaration of
12 Melissa Perry. However, Defendants implicitly acknowledge that the transcript is not
13 sealable in its entirety since they describe some of the meeting's substance in their motion
14 papers. The Court's review also indicates that the transcript is not sealable in its entirety.
15 Accordingly, Defendants' motion is DENIED.¹ Defendants must file an unredacted copy
16 of Exhibit J or a narrowly tailored sealing request by **November 15, 2016**. Defendants
17 may consider filing only those portions of the transcript that are necessary for the Court to
18 rule on the pending motions for summary judgment.

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 Dated: 11/08/16


23 THELTON E. HENDERSON
United States District Judge

24
25
26 ¹ The Court previously granted Defendants' unopposed motion to file under seal a
27 videorecording of the entire meeting. However, the Court's decision was not based on a
28 conclusion that the meeting was sealable in its entirety. Instead, although it did not say so
at the time, the Court concluded that it would be unnecessarily burdensome to require
Defendants to redact a videorecording. Redacting a written transcript poses no such
burden.