Steven M. Berki, SBN 245426 BUSTAMANTE & GAGLIASSO, P.C.	
River Park Towers	
333 W. San Carlos St., 8 th Floor, San Jose, California 95110	
Telephone: (408) 977-1911	
Facsimile: (408) 977-0746 SBerki@boglawyers.com	
Attorney for Plaintiffs	
RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (SBN 8862 NORA FRIMANN, Assistant City Attorney (S	/
ARDELL JOHNSON, Chief Deputy City Atto	mey (SBN 95340)
ALAN R. LIPTON, Senior Deputy City Attorn Office of the City Attorney	ey (SBN 931//)
200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor	
San José, California 95113-1905 Telephone Number: (408) 535-1900	
Facsimile Number: (408) 998-3131	
E-Mail Address: <u>cao.main@sanjoseca.gov</u>	
Attorneys for DEFENDANTS, CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.	
CITT OF SAN JOSE, et al.	
UNITED STATE	CS DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MOHAMMAD BADAR NAVEED, et. al.,	Case No. 5:15-cv-05298 EDL
MOHAMMAD BADAR NAVEED, et. al., Plaintiff(s),	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL
	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI
Plaintiff(s),	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL
Plaintiff(s), vs.	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
Plaintiff(s), vs. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OI CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the plaintiffs Mohammad Badar Naveed and		
2	Humza Ahmad, and all remaining defendants, including defendants City of San Jose, Officer		
3	Wallace, Officer Tran, and Officer Ornelas ("City Defendants") that in response to the settlement		
4	obtained and after having met and conferred, Plaintiffs' Complaint and each cause of action, against		
5	each remaining defendant, should be dismissed with prejudice against all remaining defendants,		
6	including City Defendants named above.		
7	The parties thereby agree as follows:		
8	Plaintiffs' claims are dismissed with prejudice against all defendants, including City		
9	Defendants. This Stipulation and Dismissal is brought pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure		
10	41(a)(1)(A).		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
12	Dated: May 24, 2017	BUSTAMANTE & GAGLIASSO, APC	
13			
14		/S/	
15		Plaintiffs	
16			
17	Dated: May 24, 2017	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney	
18			
19		/s/ Alan Lipton, Esq.	
20		Alan R. Lipton Senior Deputy City Attorney	
21		Attorneys for Defendants	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		Page 2	
	SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUA	ANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)	

4	
1	
2	[PROPOSED] ORDER
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the stipulation is GRANTED.
4 5	Plaintiffs' Complaint is dismissed with prejudice.
6	SO ORDERED,
0 7	
8	St
9	Dated: May 25, 2017 Elizabeth D. Laporte
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Page 3 SECOND STIPULATED DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)
	SECOND STIL CEATED DISMISSAE FORSOART TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(8)(1)(A)