1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	DEANNE Q. LANCASTER,	Case No. 15-cv-05299-HSG
8	Plaintiff,	ODED DIGMICSING THE CASE WITH
9	v.	ORDER DISMISSING THE CASE WITH PREJUDICE
10	ALPHABET INC., et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	On July 8, 2016, the Court gave Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint by July 29,	
13	2016. The Court made clear in its order that failure to adhere to this deadline would result in	
14	dismissal of this action with prejudice. Dkt. No. 57. Because Plaintiff did not file an amended	
15	complaint by the deadline (and has not done so to date), this action is dismissed with prejudice.	
16	The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in favor of Defendant and close the case.	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: 8/9/2016	
19		
20	Haywood S. Gill .	
21	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com