1	1		
2		ES DISTRICT COURT	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5			
6		No. 15-cv-5342-CRB	
7		ORDER DISMISSING CASE	
8			
9			
10	0 Defendants.		
11			
12	2	On April 21, 2016, Plaintiff failed to appear for a case management conference.	
13	See CMC (dkt. 16). On March 10, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause within 14 days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, as nothing had been		
14	filed since. See OSC (dkt. 17). Plaintiff did not respond within that time period. The Court		
15	therefore DISMISSES the case WITH PREJUDICE under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure		
16	41(b). See Semtek Intern. Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 531 U.S. 497, 505 (2001).		
17	7 41(b). See Senitek Intern. nic. v. Lockneed Wal	<u>tun Corp.,</u> 331 O.S. 497, 303 (2001).	
18	8 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	9 Dated: March 28, 2017	¿ Fr	
20	0	CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21	1	ONITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		