ite F	arm (General Insurance Company v. Electrolux Home Proc	ducts, Inc.	Doc.
	1			·
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
		SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
		8		
	9	STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY a/s/o Matt Peterson) Case No. 3:15-cv-05558-LB	
isco	10	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING) DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION	
 San Francisco 	11		WITH PREJUDICE	
	12	V.	}	
Angele	13	ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.		
· Los /	14	Defendants.		
◆ Denver ◆ Los Angeles				
ns ♦ D	15			
olumbus	16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED . All claims in this action are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, against all Defendants named in this case pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the		
Cleveland ♦ Colı	17			
levela	18			
O	19	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
	20			
	21		1 120	
		Dated: November 15, 2016	By: Honorable Laurel Beeler United States Magistrate Judge	
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	27 28			