28

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	PACIFIC CHOICE SEAFOOD COMPANY, et al.,	Case No. 15-cv-05572-HSG
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING REQUEST TO EXPAND MOTION FOR SUMMARY
9	v.	JUDGMENT PAGE LIMITS
10	PENNY PRITZKER, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 54
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	On August 22, 2016, the parties filed a joint case management statement requesting that	
14	the Court expand the page limits on the opening and reply briefs to their cross-motions for	
15	summary judgment. Dkt. No. 54 ¶ 21.	
16	The Court hereby GRANTS the request with these modifications:	
17	<ul> <li>Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment will be limited to 35 pages;</li> </ul>	
18	<ul> <li>Defendants' Combined Response and Cross-Motion will be limited to 35 pages;</li> </ul>	
19	<ul> <li>Plaintiffs' Combined Response and Reply will be limited to 20 pages; and</li> </ul>	
20	• Defendants' Reply will be limited to 10 pages.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: 8/31/2016  Haywood S. Isle	
23		
24	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge	
25		
26		
27		