San Francisco Baykeeper v. Taylor

Doc. 6

WHEREAS, on December 18, 2015, Plaintiff San Francisco Baykeeper ("Plaintiff") filed the above-entitled action:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's deadline to serve the complaint on Defendant James F. Taylor ("Defendant") is March 17, 2016, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m);

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2016, Plaintiff transmitted to Defendant a Request for Waiver of the Service of Summons, and is awaiting receipt of Defendant's Executed Waiver of the Service of Summons;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties") have been working together in good faith to reach a settlement agreement in this action;

WHEREAS, the Court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines ("Scheduling Order") set the initial Case Management Conference in this matter for March 17, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.;

WHEREAS, the Scheduling Order states that if the Initial Case Management Conference is continued, the other deadlines are continued accordingly;

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to continue informal negotiations in good faith, and anticipate reaching a final settlement in this action within the next ten (10) weeks;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests, upon the Court's approval, to reschedule the Case Management Conference for May 5, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., or at such later date that is convenient for the Court, in order to give the Parties a chance to complete settlement negotiations without involving the resources of the Court;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests, upon the Court's approval, to reschedule the deadline to file the Parties' ADR certifications and stipulations to ADR process or notices of need for an ADR phone conference no later than one week before the Case Management Conference;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests, upon the Court's approval, to reschedule the deadline to file the Parties' Rule 26(f) Report and Joint Case Management Statement no later than one week before the Case Management Conference;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests, upon the Court's approval, to reschedule the deadline to file the Parties' initial disclosures or objections in their Rule 26(f) Report no later than one week before the Case Management Conference;

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to approve and enter the Proposed Order below.

DATE: February 23, 2016 Re

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Nicole C. Sasaki

Nicole C. Sasaki Attorneys for Plaintiff SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER

(PROPOSED) ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,

- 1. The Case Management Conference shall be continued to May 5, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.
- 2. The Parties' ADR certifications and stipulations to ADR process or notices of need for an ADR phone conference shall be filed no later than one week before the Case Management Conference.
- 3. The Parties' Rule 26(f) Report and Joint Case Management Statement shall be filed no later than one week before the Case Management Conference.
- 4. The Parties' initial disclosures or objections in their Rule 26(f) Report shall be filed no later than one week before the Case Management Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _February 24, 2016

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Maria-Elena James **United States District Court**