San Francisco Baykeeper v. Taylor

Doc. 9

WHEREAS, on December 18, 2015, Plaintiff San Francisco Baykeeper ("Plaintiff") filed the above-entitled action:

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2016, Plaintiff transmitted to Defendant a Request for Waiver of the Service of Summons:

WHEREAS, on March 9, 2016, Plaintiff received Defendant's executed waiver for service of summons, and Plaintiff filed the executed waiver for service of summons;

WHEREAS, Defendant has chosen to proceed as a *pro se* litigant, and has not appeared in this matter;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Rule 4(d)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant currently has until April 18, 2016 to respond to the complaint;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties") have been working together in good faith to reach a settlement agreement in this action;

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to extend the time for Defendant to respond to the complaint until May 23, 2016;

WHEREAS, such an extension of time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court Order;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests, upon the Court's approval, to extend the time for Defendant to respond to the complaint to May 23, 2016, in order to give the Parties a chance to complete settlement negotiations;

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to approve and enter the Proposed Order below.

DATE: March 24, 2016 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Nicole C. Sasaki

Nicole C. Sasaki Attorneys for Plaintiff SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,

Defendant's time to respond to the complaint shall be extended to May 23, 2016.
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 24, 2016

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Maria Elena James United States District Court