

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

No. C 15-06067 WHA

v.

JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED  
IP ADDRESS 67.161.3.254,

Defendant.

**ORDER DENYING LEAVE  
TO SERVE THIRD  
PARTY SUBPOENA  
AND DISMISSING CASE**

Plaintiff has filed 178 actions in this district, claiming that defendants, whom plaintiff can only identify by their IP addresses, copied and distributed plaintiff's pornographic videos using the BitTorrent protocol. In the interest of judicial efficiency, all of plaintiff's actions in this district were assigned to the undersigned judge.

Generally, in order to discover each defendant's identifying information (and thereafter to effect service), plaintiff must subpoena the defendant's Internet service provider, for which it must seek leave. Once leave is granted and the subpoena is served, the Internet provider generally responds within six to eight weeks.

Plaintiff's counsel became overwhelmed with the limited time remaining to serve defendants before the deadline set forth in Rule 4(m) after receiving the Internet service provider's response and proposed a streamlined procedure for the service of process, which extended the deadline to effectuate service to thirty-five days after plaintiff received each

1 defendant's identifying information from the Internet service provider. An order detailing that  
2 procedure was filed in this case (Dkt. No. 6).

3 Plaintiff commenced this action on December 27, 2015. Pursuant to Rule 4(m), the  
4 deadline to effectuate service was March 28, 2016. Plaintiff filed its motion for leave to file a  
5 subpoena on defendant's Internet service provider on March 28, meaning it would be  
6 impossible to serve the defendant before the Rule 4(m) deadline. Plaintiff offered no  
7 explanation for its delay in bringing this motion.

8 Plaintiff knew the Rule 4(m) deadline from the outset of the case. (Plainly, its decision  
9 to file this motion at the deadline was no accident.) Plaintiff will not be allowed to  
10 automatically extend the deadline to effectuate service indefinitely by seeking leave to serve a  
11 third party subpoena at the last possible minute. Counsel should have acted promptly and  
12 diligently, and going forward, plaintiff must seek leave to serve such subpoenas within the first  
13 thirty days after a case is filed.

14 Plaintiff's instant motion for leave to serve a third party subpoena is **DENIED** and this  
15 case is hereby **DISMISSED** for lack of prosecution. The Clerk shall please **CLOSE THE FILE**.


16

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18

19 Dated: April 4, 2016.

20

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28