

1  
2  
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4  
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

8 Plaintiff,

No. CR 10-00068 WHA

9 v.

10 JOHN BROSNAN,

11 Defendant.  
12 \_\_\_\_\_/

**ORDER DENYING PERMISSION  
TO FILE LAWSUIT AGAINST  
THE UNITED STATES**

13 In compliance with the terms of his supervised release, defendant John Brosnan has  
14 submitted to the undersigned judge a proposed lawsuit that he wishes to file in federal court. In  
15 his complaint, Brosnan alleges that he has developed a new battery technology far more  
16 powerful than any in the market. The complaint then describes Edward Snowden, Bradley  
17 Manning, and how whistleblowers have recently leaked classified documents. Brosnan's suit  
18 requests a declaratory judgment that the United States cannot steal his intellectual property and  
19 that the United States must allow him to export it without him going through the proper  
20 procedures.

21 Brosnan's proposed complaint is completely frivolous and does not assert any plausible  
22 or proper claims. As has been found in both state and federal court, Brosnan is a vexatious  
23 litigant and the pre-filing order was designed to stop lawsuits exactly like this one. Permission  
24 to file this declaratory judgment action against the United States is **DENIED**. The **CLERK SHALL**  
25 **PLEASE CLOSE THE FILE**.

26  
27 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 Dated: October 6, 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE