

1 THOMAS H.L. SELBY (*pro hac vice*)
 DAVID M. KRINSKY (*pro hac vice*)
 2 ADAM D. HARBER (*pro hac vice*)
 CHRISTOPHER J. MANDERNACH (*pro hac vice*)
 3 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
 4 Washington, D.C. 20005
 Telephone: (202) 434-5000
 5 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029
 E-mail: tselby@wc.com
 6 E-mail: dkrinsky@wc.com
 E-mail: aharber@wc.com
 7 E-mail: cmandernach@wc.com

8 STEPHEN E. TAYLOR (SBN 058452)
 JONATHAN A. PATCHEN (SBN 237346)
 9 TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
 One Ferry Building, Suite 355
 10 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 788-8200
 11 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
 E-mail: staylor@tcolaw.com
 12 E-mail: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

13 Attorneys for Defendant
 DROPOBOX, INC.

14
 15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
 16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 DROPOBOX, INC.,

22 Defendant.

Case No.: 16-cv-00119-HSG

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND
 TIME FOR DEFENDANT DROPOBOX, INC.
 TO
 FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
 TO DISMISS [CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-2]**

Date: April 28, 2016

Time: 2:00 PM

Place: Courtroom 15, 18th Floor

Complaint Filed: March 27, 2015

Case Transferred: January 8, 2016

Trial Date: None Set

Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

1 Defendant Dropbox, Inc. (“Dropbox”) and Plaintiff Synchronoss Technologies, Inc.
2 (“Synchronoss”), by and through their respective counsel and subject to the Court’s approval, have
3 met conferred and hereby stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, in light of the Easter holiday and conflicting deadlines facing counsel for
5 Dropbox, there is good cause to extend the date for Dropbox’s reply in support of its pending
6 Motion to Dismiss (ECF 81) by one week, from March 31, 2016 to and including April 7, 2016;

7 WHEREAS, there has only been one prior time modification in this case when the Court
8 granted Synchronoss’ motion for an extension of time to respond to Dropbox’s original February
9 5, 2016 motion to dismiss (ECF 70, 71);

10 WHEREAS, the parties’ requested extension will have no impact on the schedule for the
11 case or the Motion’s April 28, 2016 hearing date; the requested one-week extension will still leave
12 the Court with three (3) weeks to review all of the papers submitted in support of and in
13 opposition to the Motion, and prepare for the hearing; and the brief extension will not prevent the
14 Motion from being fully briefed before the April 12 Initial Case Management Conference;

15 ///
16 ///
17 ///
18 ///
19 ///
20 ///
21 ///
22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

I, Jonathan A. Patchen, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file the above **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT DROPBOX, INC. TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS**. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each listed counsel above has concurred in this filing.

By: /s/ Jonathan A. Patchen
Jonathan A. Patchen