1	3.	The Parties are engagin	ing in settlement discussions and wish to exhaust such	
2	efforts prior to the requirement that the joint site inspection take place.			
3	4.	In addition, counsel for	r Defendants unexpectedly had to travel to the East Coast	
4	due to a family emergency and has been unable to attend a joint site inspection prior to the			
5	deadline.			
6	5.	Accordingly, the Partie	es agree that the last date to conduct the site inspection be	
7	continued from April 29, 2016 to May 27, 2016, and that all other dates in the Scheduling Order			
8	triggered by the date of the site inspection also be continued accordingly.			
9				
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
11				
12	Dated: April	29, 2016	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.	
13			/s/Tanya F. Moora	
14			<u>/s/ Tanya E. Moore</u> Tanya E. Moore	
15			Attorney for Plaintiff, Tina Huynh	
16			·	
17	Dated: April	29, 2016	MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP	
18			ELLKOD, KAWIIKEZ, TRESTER LEI	
19				
20			<u>/s/ David V. Roth</u> David V. Roth	
21			Attorney for Defendants, J.C. Penney Corporation and J.C. Penney	
22			Properties, Inc.	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	1			

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the last date for the Parties to conduct the joint site
4	inspection required under General Order 56 and the Scheduling Order be continued from April
5	29, 2016 to May 27, 2016. All other dates triggered by the date of the joint site inspection shall
6	be continued accordingly.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	
11	Dated: May 2, 2016  Askli Kuri
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	