UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT HOWE, an individ	lual,	CASE NO. 3:16-ev-00373-JST
Plainti	ff(s),	
v. SAP INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, SAP AMERICA a Delaware corporation, and through 100, inclusive,	, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defend	dant(s).	
Counsel report that th following stipulation pursuan	•	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the -8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participa	te in the following	g ADR process:
	Arbitration (ADR Evaluation (ENE) DR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to me	eet their needs tha may not file this fo	ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is an any other form of ADR must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: ☐ Private ADR ((please identify pr	ocess and provider)
	ve deadline (The a	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order cocess unless otherwise ordered.)
	d deadline: Septe	ember 1, 2016
Dated: <u>May 2, 2016</u>		/s/ Michael Dillingham Attorney for Plaintiff Robert Howe
Dated: <u>May 2, 2016</u>		/s/ Brian L. Johnsrud Attorney for Defendants SAP Industries, Inc. and SAP America, Inc.

⅓	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated:	IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Jon S. Tigar Judge Jon S. Tigar