1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	RONALD CHARLES DENISON JR., No. C 16-00432 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	CITI FINANCIAL SERVICING LLC;
14	DOES 1-5, Defendants.
15	/
16	In this Fair Credit Reporting Act action, defendant Citi Financial Servicing, LLC filed a
17 18	motion to dismiss on February 22. Pro se plaintiff Ronald Denison's response was due on
18 19	March 7, but no response has been received. By NOON ON MARCH 28, 2016, plaintiff is hereby
20	ordered to show cause, in writing, why he failed to file a timely opposition. By the same date,
21	plaintiff must also file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of
22	non-opposition. Failure to respond, or to show sufficient cause as to why plaintiff did not file a
23	timely opposition, may result in dismissal of the case for failure to prosecute.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	1 m Alma
27	Dated: March 14, 2016. WILLIAM ALSUP
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com