

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AIDS HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION,  
INC.,

No. C 16-0443 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GILEAD SCIENCES, INC., JAPAN  
TOBACCO INC., JAPAN TOBACCO  
INTERNATIONAL U.S.A. INC., EMORY  
UNIVERSITY,

**ORDER RE PAGE LIMITS  
FOR BRIEFS ON MOTIONS  
TO DISMISS**

Defendants.

---

The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions regarding enargling the page limits on briefs for the forthcoming motions to dismiss and the possibility of omnibus briefs.

The page limits shall be as follows:

- Gilead's motion: **30 PAGES.**
- Other motions: **25 PAGES.**
- AIDS Healthcare's omnibus opposition: **60 PAGES.**
- Gilead's reply: **18 PAGES.**
- Other replies: **15 PAGES.**


To be clear, defendants may *not* file an omnibus reply. AIDS Healthcare should be clear which arguments address which defendants, and defendants need only reply to the arguments directed at them. If the total length of defendants' briefs substantially exceeds the sixty pages

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

claimed in their joint statement, the Court will entertain a request for a further enlargement of AIDS Healthcare's page limit.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May 12, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE