

1 Bruce J. Zabarauskas, SBN. 248601
 THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP
 2 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100
 Los Angeles, California 90017
 3 Telephone: (310) 203-6902
 Facsimile: (310) 203-6980
 4 Email: bruce.zabarauskas@tklaw.com

5 Justin S. Cohen (*pro hac vice*)
 Richard L. Wynne, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)
 THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP
 6 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500
 Dallas, Texas 75201
 7 Telephone: (214)969-1700
 Facsimile: (214)969-1751
 8 Email: justin.cohen@tklaw.com
 Email: richard.wynne@tklaw.com

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
 Jonathan S. Kagan (166039)
 Talin Gordnia (274213)
 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
 Telephone: (310)277-1010
 Facsimile: (310)203-7199
 Email: jkagan@irell.com
 Email: tgordnia@irell.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
Juniper Networks, Inc.*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,

18 Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00558-SI

19 **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO**
 20 **RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT**
 21 **CONFERENCE**

22 In accordance with Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiffs Chrimar Systems, Inc. and
 23 Chrimar Holding Company (collectively, “Chrimar”) and Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.
 (“Juniper”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

24 On July 1, 2015, Chrimar filed suit against various defendants in the Eastern District of
 25 Texas alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,155,012, 8,942,107, 8,902,760, and 9,019,838
 26 (collectively, the “Patents-in-Suit”).

27 Four of the cases have been transferred to the Northern District of California, and are

1 presently before this Court: *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-
2 cv-00558-SI (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-
3 186-SI (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. NETGEAR, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-624-SI
4 (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Fortinet, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-00897-SI (N.D. Cal.)
5 (collectively, the “N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases”).

6 On March 24, 2016, the Court entered STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CASE
7 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Dkt. No. 39], setting the Case Management Conference (“CMC”)
8 for the four transferred cases to April 22, 2016.

9 Chrimar’s lead counsel has developed a conflict with the April 22, 2016 CMC setting. In
10 particular, in related litigation currently pending in the Eastern District of Texas, Chrimar is
11 subject to an April 25, 2016, deadline for the close of fact discovery. At the time it submitted the
12 previous stipulation setting the CMC for April 22, Chrimar believed that the depositions in the
13 Texas case could be scheduled so as to not interfere with the CMC. Since that time, however,
14 Chrimar has learned that because of witness availability issues, two depositions in the Texas case
15 must proceed on April 22 in Dallas, Texas. Because of those depositions, Chrimar’s lead counsel,
16 Justin Cohen, and associate counsel, Richard Wynne, are unavailable to attend the CMC on that
17 date.

18 While mindful of this Court’s scheduling, rather than requesting leave to proceed with the
19 CMC without the presence of lead counsel, Chrimar contacted the Defendants in each of the N.D.
20 Cal. Chrimar Cases to inquire about rescheduling the CMC. Counsel for the parties in all of the
21 N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases have conferred and are agreeable to continuing the CMC until a date
22 when Chrimar’s lead counsel is available.

23 Having discussed the matter, the parties in all N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases are available for a
24 CMC on May 13, 2016. Accordingly, if the Court’s schedule permits, counsel for the parties in
25 this action have agreed to reschedule the CMC currently set for April 22, 2016, to May 13, 2016,
26 at 2:30 p.m.

27 Because the Court has not entered a Scheduling Order in any of the four N.D. Cal.
28 Chrimar Cases, the requested time modification will have no effect on the schedule for this or any

1 of the cases.

2 IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED, that the CMC in this case shall be
3 rescheduled to May 13, 2016, at 2:30 p.m. and the related deadlines for filing a joint CMC
4 statement is adjusted to May 6, 2016. Further, the parties shall file either a Stipulation to ADR
5 Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference not later than April 22, 2016.

6
7 Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

8 /s/ Richard L. Wynne, Jr.
9 Richard L. Wynne, Jr.
Thompson & Knight LLP

/s/ Talin Gordnia w/perm R. Wynne
Talin Gordnia
IRELL & MANELLA LLP

10 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*

Counsel for Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 **Case No. 3:16-cv-00558-SI**

3
4 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

5 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18
6 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100, Los
7 Angeles, CA 90017. On April 12, 2016 I served documents described as follows:

8 **Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Reschedule Case Management Conference**

9 I served the document listed above on the interested parties below, using the following
10 means:

11 **[X] (By Court's CM/ECF System) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed**
12 **the documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which**
13 **sent notification of that filing to the persons listed on the CM/ECF service list.**

14 I declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true and
15 correct.

16 Executed on April 12, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.

17 /s/ Bruce J. Zabarauskas
18 Bruce J. Zabarauskas

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/18, 2016



THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE