Northern District of California United States District Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
CONDALEE MORRIS,	Case No. <u>16-cv-00581-JD</u>	
Plaintiff,		
v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM FINAL JUDGMENT	
LUCY H. KOH, et al.,		
Defendants.	Re: Dkt. No. 12	

screening for failure to state a claim on April 29, 2016. Plaintiff has now filed a motion for relief from final judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

Rule 60(b) lists six grounds for relief from a judgment. Such a motion must be made within a "reasonable time," and as to grounds for relief (1) - (3), no later than one year after the judgment was entered. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Rule 60(b) provides for reconsideration where one or more of the following is shown: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered before the court's decision; (3) fraud by the adverse party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied; (6) any other reason justifying relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b); School Dist. 1J v. ACandS Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). Rule 60(b) provides a mechanism for parties to seek relief from a judgment when "it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application," or when there is any other reason justifying relief from judgment. Jeff D. v. Kempthorne, 365 F.3d 844, 853-54 (9th Cir. 2004) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)).

25 Plaintiff sought monetary and declaratory relief because a District Judge in this Court 26 denied his motions for default judgment in another case. Plaintiff alleged that Judge Lucy Koh 27 denied several of his motions for default judgment in another case, Morris v. Sandoval, Case No. 12-cv-6132-JD, that was previously assigned to Judge Koh. He also alleged that the former Clerk

28

United States District Court Northern District of California of Court erred in sending out waivers of service of summons' to defendants. It was noted that Case No. 12-cv-6132 continues and counsel was recently appointed for plaintiff.

Plaintiff was informed that he was not entitled to relief because defendants were entitled to immunity for these acts performed in their judicial capacities and functions. To the extent plaintiff seeks to file an amended complaint raising claims regarding medical care he can file a new case. To the extent plaintiff seeks to amend his claims in Case No. 12-cv-6132, or seeks to raise issues in that case, he must speak with his appointed attorneys and proceed in that case. Because plaintiff has not shown that he is entitled to relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), this motion (Docket No. 12) is **DENIED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2016

JAMES DONATO United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4	CONDALEE MORRIS,	Case No. <u>16-cv-00581-JD</u>	
5	Plaintiff,		
6	V.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
7	LUCY H. KOH, et al.,		
8	Defendants.		
9		I	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.		
10	District Court, Northern District of California.		
12	That on November 18, 2016, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	Condalee Morris ID: V96203 CSP-Sacramento P.O. Box 290060 Device CA 05671		
18			
19	Represa, CA 95671		
20			
21	Dated: November 18, 2016		
22			
23		Susan Y. Soong Clerk, United States District Court	
24			
25		Bu Lin R I lad	
26		LISA R. CLARK, Deputy Clerk to the	
27		Honorable JAMES DONATO	
28			

United States District Court Northern District of California