UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDICHECH BADREI Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. C16-00649-JST

v.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

TARGET CORPORATION, et al. , Defendant(s). /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- x Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider)

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order
referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

x other requested deadline <u>8/26/16</u>

Dated:_3/29/16____

Dated:_3/29/16____

__/s/ Michael Henderson_____ Attorney for Plaintiff

_/s/ Gail C. Trabish	
Attorney for Defendant	

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

[PROPOSED] ORDER

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.



Dated: March 29, 2016

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."

Rev. 12/11