

THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BAKONDI
 Daniel A. Bakondi (SBN 242043)
 870 Market Street, Suite 1157 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Phone: 415.450.0424 Fax: 415.399.9608; 415.795.3733
 danielbakondi@yahoo.com
 Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

San Francisco Patrol Special Police Officers Alan Byard, an individual; Officer Robert L. Burns, an individual; Officer Calvin C. Wiley, an individual; Officer John J. Andrews, an individual; Officer Scott Hart, an individual; Officer Todd Hart, an individual; Officer Samuel J. Reyes, Sr. an individual; Officer Theodore Torres, an individual; Officer John Barry, an individual; Officer Serge J. White, an individual; the San Francisco Patrol Special Police Officers Alliance, a public benefit corporation; the San Francisco Patrol Special Police Officers Association Inc., a public benefit corporation, and San Francisco Patrol Special Police, an unincorporated association; Officer Hanley Chan, an individual; Officer Earl L. Curtis, an individual; Officer Anthony Cirimele, an individual; Officer John Fitzinger, an individual;

Plaintiffs,

v.

The City and County of San Francisco, A Public Incorporation; The San Francisco Police Department, A Public Incorporation; Sergeant Gerald Darcy, an individual; Inspector Martin Ohalloran, an individual; Sergeant Ueugen Geleano, an individual; Sergeant John Bragagnolo, an individual; Sergeant Jesus Peña, an individual; Officer Michael Simmons, an individual; Sergeant Peter Thoshinsky, an individual; Officer John Van Koll, an individual; Officer Thomas Cunnane, an individual; Officer Randy Ly, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10,000, inclusive;

Defendants,

3:16-cv-00691

Formerly San Francisco Case No. CGC 13-528788

Originally filed as Contra Costa Case No. C12 - 01656

Order Re:
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION UNDER RULE 7-11 TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FROM 25 TO 30 IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
 as modified

Trial Date: May 8, 2017
 moved to May 22, 2017

Order re:

Relief Requested

1 Plaintiffs respectfully ask this Court to allow Plaintiffs' Opposition brief to Defendants'
2 Summary Judgment Motion to be up to 30 pages long. Plaintiffs seek this relief due to the large
3 number and complexity of issues and facts they must present, and are trying to be as succinct as
4 possible. Defendants' counsel said she would not likely oppose.
5

6 Respectfully submitted,

7 March 22, 2017

THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BAKONDI

8 /s/ Daniel A. Bakondi, Esq.

9 Daniel A. Bakondi, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Before the Court is Plaintiffs’ administrative motion to extend Plaintiffs’ Opposition brief page limit from 25 to 30 pages.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Plaintiffs’ administrative motion to allow Plaintiffs’ brief to be up to ²⁸~~30~~ pages in length in their Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 22, 2017. By: _____ HON. WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE