Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PRESTON JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

NUTIVA, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-00711-HSG (KAW)

ORDER TERMINATING 8/10/16 JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER

Re: Dkt. No. 45

On August 10, 2016, the parties filed a joint discovery letter regarding Defendant's alleged failure to fully respond to various discovery devices. (Dkt. No. 45.) Thereafter, the joint letter and all other discovery in this case were referred to the undersigned.

All joint letters must comply with the Court's standing order, including the provision that "a separate joint letter [be filed] for each discovery dispute (i.e. if the parties have disputes regarding specific interrogatories and requests for production, they must file two letters)." (Standing Order for Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore ¶ 13.) Here, the instant joint letter does not address the substance of the multiple disputes at issue, and does not provide the undersigned with the information necessary to resolve the disputes.

Accordingly, the Court TERMINATES the discovery letter and orders the parties to further meet and confer and file a separate, revised joint letter for each discovery device, not to exceed five pages. The letters shall be in the following format to ensure that the parties are addressing the same issues, and are doing so in a manner that facilitates the Court's resolution of the remaining disputes:

A. Request for Production No. 7

[Summarize the issue and reproduce the request.]

Plaintiff's Position

1	[Plaintiff's position outlining why Defendant's response is deficient and
2	the relief requested.]
3	<u>Defendant's Position</u>
4	[Defendant's rationale as to why it has fully responded to the request, etc.]
5	B. Request for Production No. 12
6	[Summarize the issue and reproduce the request.]
7	Plaintiff's Position
8	[Plaintiff's position outlining why Defendant's response is deficient and
9	the relief requested.]
10	<u>Defendant's Position</u>
11	[Defendant's rationale as to why it has fully responded to the request, etc.]
12	
13	(See Standing Order for Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore ¶ 13.) Additionally, the parties
14	should attach the propounded discovery and the applicable responses as exhibits to the joint
15	discovery letter. The parties need not attach correspondence. All exhibits should be tabbed and
16	physically attached to the corresponding letter with a staple or brads.
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	Dated: August 12, 2016
19	KANDIS A. WESTMORE
20	United States Magistrate Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	